UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Integration of evidence across human and model organism studies: A meeting report

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/824438bw

Journal Genes Brain & Behavior, 20(6)

ISSN 1601-1848

Authors

Palmer, Rohan HC Johnson, Emma C Won, Hyejung <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021-07-01

DOI

10.1111/gbb.12738

Peer reviewed

- 1 Title: Integration of Evidence across Human and Model Organism Studies: A Meeting Report
- 2 Rohan H. C. Palmer^{1*}, Emma C. Johnson², Hyejung Won³, Renato Polimanti⁴, Manav Kapoor⁵,
- 3 Apurva Chitre⁶, Molly A. Bogue⁷, Chelsie E. Benca-Bachman¹, Clarissa C. Parker⁸, Oana Ursu⁹,
- 4 Anurag Verma¹⁰, Timothy Reynolds⁷, Jason Ernst¹¹, Michael Bray², Soo Bin Kwon¹¹, Dongbing
- 5 Lai¹², Bryan C. Quach¹³, Nathan C. Gaddis¹³, Laura Saba¹⁴, Hao Chen¹⁵, Michael Hawrylycz¹⁶,
- 6 Shan Zhang¹⁷, Yuan Zhou¹⁸, Spencer Mahaffey¹⁹, Christian Fischer²⁰, Sandra Sanchez-Roige⁶,
- 7 Anita Bandrowski²¹, Qing Lu¹⁸, Li Shen²², Vivek Philip⁷, Joel Gelernter⁴, Laura J. Bierut², Dana
- 8 B. Hancock¹³, Howard J. Edenberg^{12,23}, Eric O. Johnson¹³, Eric J. Nestler²², Peter B. Barr²⁴, Pjotr
- 9 Prins²⁵, Desmond J. Smith²⁶, Schahram Akbarian²⁷, Thorgeir Thorgeirsson²⁸, Dave Walton⁷, Erich
- 10 Baker²⁹, Daniel Jacobson^{30,31}, Abraham A. Palmer^{6,32}, Michael Miles³³, Elissa J. Chesler⁷, Jake
- 11 Emerson⁷, Arpana Agrawal², Maryann Martone²⁰, Robert W. Williams²⁰
- 12 ¹Behavioral Genetics of Addiction Laboratory, Department of Psychology, Emory University,
- 13 Atlanta, GA, USA
- 14 ²Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
- 15³ Department of Genetics and Neuroscience Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,
- 16 Chapel Hill, NC (only USA, Canada and Australia), USA
- 17 ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, West Haven, CT, USA
- 18 ⁵Nash Family Department of Neuroscience and Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of
- 19 Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029 USA
- 20 ⁶ Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
- 21⁷ The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA
- ⁸Department of Psychology and Program in Neuroscience, Middlebury College, Middlebury,
- 23 VT, USA
- 24 ⁹ The BROAD Institute, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 25 ¹⁰Biomedical and Translational Informatics Laboratory, University of Pennsylvania,
- 26 Philadelphia, PA, USA
- ¹¹Department of Biological Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA,
 US
- 29 ¹² Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine,
- 30 Indianapolis, IN, US

- 31 ¹³GenOmics, Bioinformatics, and Translational Research Center, Biostatistics and Epidemiology
- 32 Division, RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA
- ¹⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus,
 Aurora, CO
- 35 ¹⁵ Department of Pharmacology, Addiction Science, and Toxicology, University of Tennessee
- 36 Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA
- 37 ¹⁶Allen Institute, Seattle, United States
- 38 ¹⁷Department of Statistics and Probability, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
- ¹⁸Department of Department of Biostatistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
- ¹⁹ Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Colorado Denver,
 Aurora, CO 80045, USA
- ²⁰ Department of Genetics, Genomics and Informatics, University of Tennessee Health Science
 Center, Memphis, TN, USA
- 44 ²¹ Department of Neuroscience, UCSD, SciCrunch Inc, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.
- 45 ²² Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York
- ²³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine,
 Indianapolis, IN
- 48 ²⁴ Department of Psychology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA
- ²⁵ Department of Genetics, Genomics and Informatics, University of Tennessee Health Science
 Center, Memphis, TN, USA
- 51 ²⁶ Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, David Geffen School of Medicine,
- 52 UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- ²⁷ Friedman Brain Institute and Departments of Psychiatry and Neuroscience, Icahn School of
 Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY USA
- 55 ²⁸ deCODE Genetics/Amgen Inc., Sturlugata 8, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland
- 56 ²⁹ Department of Computer Science, Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA
- ³⁰Computational and Predictive Biology, Biosciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak
- 58 Ridge, TN (only USA, Canada, and Australia), USA

- 59 ³¹ Department of Psychology, University of Tennessee Knoxville, Knoxville, TN, USA
- ³² Institute for Genomic Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093.
- 61 ³³ Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Virginia Commonwealth University,
- 62 Richmond, VA, USA
- 63
- 64

65 Corresponding Author:

- 66 Rohan H.C. Palmer
- 67 Department of Psychology
- 68 Emory University
- 69 36 Eagle Row, Atlanta GA, 30322
- 70 <u>Rohan.Palmer@Emory.edu</u>
- 71 Tel: +1 404-727-3126
- 72
- 73 Manuscript info:
- **74** *#* of words (abstract): 177
- 75 # of words (cover + references): 6455
- **76** # of tables: 1
- **77** *#* of figures: 0
- 78 Keywords: Drug Abuse, Working Group, Genomics, Model Organisms, Multi-omic

80 Abstract

- 81 The National Institute on Drug Abuse and Joint Institute for Biological Sciences at the Oak
- 82 Ridge National Laboratory hosted a meeting attended by a diverse group of scientists with
- 83 expertise in substance use disorders (SUDs), computational biology, and FAIR (Findability,
- 84 Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability) data sharing. The meeting's objective was to
- 85 discuss and evaluate better strategies to integrate genetic, epigenetic, and 'omics data across
- 86 human and model organisms to achieve deeper mechanistic insight into SUDs. Specific topics
- 87 were to (a) evaluate the current state of substance use genetics and genomics research and
- 88 fundamental gaps, (b) identify opportunities and challenges of integration and sharing across
- 89 species and data types, (c) identify current tools and resources for integration of genetic,
- 90 epigenetic, and phenotypic data, (d) discuss steps and impediment related to data integration, and
- 91 (e) outline future steps to support more effective collaboration—particularly between animal
- 92 model research communities and human genetics and clinical research teams. This review
- 93 summarizes key facets of this catalytic discussion with a focus on new opportunities and gaps in
- 94 resources and knowledge on SUDs.
- 95
- 96 Keywords: GWAS; data integration; cross-species; substance use disorders

97 1. Introduction

98 On May 29–31, 2019, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) and the Joint 99 Institute for Biological Sciences at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) hosted the 100 Addiction Genetics and Epigenetics Data Jamboree meeting at Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Over 101 thirty scientists with expertise in genetics and genomics of substance use in human and model 102 organisms gathered to discuss linking data and results across systems that exploit genetics, 103 genomics, epigenetics, and other omics by leveraging innovative statistical methods and 104 computational tools. The meeting commenced with an open discussion of the state of substance 105 use genetics, including the strengths and weaknesses of various approaches to genotype-106 phenotype associations in humans and model organisms. Most notably, researchers discussed 107 how joint data- and theory-driven studies using integrative cross-species and multi-omics 108 approaches could more rapidly discover and translate mechanisms than relying upon genome-109 wide association studies (GWAS) or model organisms alone. Over the course of two days, 110 researchers participated in thematic discussions that centered on the current state of knowledge, 111 gaps in understanding and advantages and challenges of: (1) data analyses using multi-species and multi-omic data, (2) data integration methods/procedures, and (3) multi-omic data generation 112 113 and sharing/accessibility. Meeting participants reconvened on the third day to summarize 114 findings and since then have reflected upon the field's latest findings around the meeting's topical 115 areas in the preparation of the current document. Each researcher brought their unique 116 experience, perspective, and expertise to these discussions, and a consensus was not always 117 reached for the best path forward on every topic. Not all authors of this report necessarily 118 endorse all ideas presented herein.

119 This report aims to summarize the discussions by focusing on the state of science, 120 including opportunities for more effective cross-talk and collaboration between human and 121 model organism research communities, as well as barriers to data acquisition and integration. 122 Next, we discuss the methods and tools used for genetic and genomic discovery, their 123 assumptions and limitations, as well as areas for improvement needed to achieve rapid 124 translation of genetic loci to identified mechanisms and potential treatments. We review 125 challenges of data transportability and sharing (i.e., Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability data practices), for which there are interpersonal, legal, and technological
barriers of integrating diverse data types. Finally, we describe some gaps to address in future
programs on substance use disorders (SUDs).

129

130 Status of Substance Use and Disorders Genetics and Genomics

131 SUDs represent a pressing area of unmet medical, psychological, and social needs. In 132 2017, alcohol and illicit substance use and disorders resulted in 13,969 and 67,000 deaths 133 (directly and indirectly) in the United States, respectively,¹ which was less than smoking (~250,000 deaths), but more than liver disease $(62,493 \text{ deaths})^2$ and diabetes $(68,558 \text{ deaths})^3$. 134 135 Worldwide, SUDs have a relatively early onset and contribute to approximately 21% of lost disability-adjusted life years⁴ (15% for smoking and second-hand smoke not counting comorbid 136 137 drug use¹), emphasizing the high societal and personal cost to affected individuals and 138 communities. Twin- and family-based studies show that SUDs generally have moderate to high 139 heritability,⁵ with sequence differences contributing to 50–70% of variance in liability. Large-140 scale GWASs investigating hundreds of thousands of participants have become a reliable method 141 to localize and identify genomic regions, genes, and common and substance-specific nucleotide differences that contribute to the heritability of the many facets of SUDs.⁶⁻⁸ 142

143 To date, there has been substantial progress in the characterization of the genetic etiology of human SUDs.⁹⁻¹³ Data sharing, meta-analysis, and very large sample sizes have begun to yield 144 loci for alcohol-,¹⁴⁻¹⁹ tobacco-,^{18,20} and cannabis-related traits.²⁰ The past three years have 145 146 witnessed an escalation in these discoveries - for instance, findings for alcohol use disorder 147 (AUD) increased from one locus (N=14,904 cases) in 2018 to 29 independent variants in 2020 148 (N=435,563, including >57,000 cases). These human GWASs have shown that SUDs are highly 149 polygenic. This polygenicity may be partially explained by human-specific evolutionary 150 pressures and diagnostic heterogeneity.²¹ Notably, the history of SUD and psychiatric GWAS has 151 shown that more common variants with modest effect sizes can be identified and replicated when 152 studies are well-powered. Yet, there are other substances of abuse for which we still lack sufficient power (e.g., opioids²² and cocaine²³) for unbiased identification of the heritable 153

154 components of susceptibility, severity, and relapse. For most common diseases, the number of 155 genome-wide significant hits that are discovered increases sharply after a threshold sample size that ranges from about 10,000 to 100,000.²⁴ In the case of psychiatric disease, it took 36,989 156 157 cases and 113,075 controls to identify 108 loci for schizophrenia.²⁵ A simulation study by Walters et al. 2019 suggested that AUD and other related SUDs²⁶ have effect size distributions 158 159 similar to major depression,²⁷ a disease that required approximately 10,000 cases to identify the first locus,²⁸ and may require sample sizes between 55,000 and 130,000 cases (or more) to 160 161 identify large numbers of commonly occurring variants.¹⁵ While biobanks and electronic health 162 records provide opportunities for increasing sample sizes for AUD, the ability to adequately 163 assess illicit drug use disorder from biobanks remains questionable. That said, steady progress is 164 being made for illicit substances. For example, a recently published GWAS for opioid use 165 disorder (OUD) in the Million Veterans Program and two additional samples, obtained genome-166 wide significance for rs1799971 in the gene encoding the mu-opioid receptor, OPRM1, with 8,529 cases and 71,200 opioid-exposed controls²² though additional work is needed to validate 167 168 these findings.

169 It is also important to note that identifying genetically-mediated mechanisms of disease is 170 also partially contingent on how well a phenotype is defined so that it reflects relevant biological 171 and environmental variation. In human GWAS, phenotypic heterogeneity, which is evident in 172 diagnostic classification, as well as the imprecision of recall and self-report, has been shown to result in low heritability (in some instances) and specificity for disease prediction.²⁹ Compared to 173 174 humans, model organisms have the advantages of narrowly defined phenotypic assays applied to 175 both experimental and control groups and objective measurements. However, animal models poorly reflect the interpersonal and quality of life aspects of human SUD.³⁰ Human studies using 176 177 case-control and quantitative phenotypes of the most predominantly used substances, alcohol and 178 tobacco, with sufficiently large sample size have recently confirmed suspected genetic mediation 179 of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic pathways; studies also suggest greater relevance of single nucleotide variants expressed in brain ³¹⁻³³. Liu et al. 2019¹⁸ found that all central-nervous-180 181 system-expressed nicotinic receptor genes (except for CHRNA7) were significantly associated 182 with one or more smoking phenotypes that they examined. This suggests that related phenotypes,

183 such as age of smoking initiation and cigarettes per day, may show overlapping but differential 184 patterns of associations with relevant genetic variation. Therefore, it is important to examine a 185 variety of different phenotypes, from case-control phenotypes to endophenotypes. For example, 186 in a GWAS of a pharmacologically relevant phenotype for smoking, a measure of the rate of 187 nicotine metabolism (the nicotine metabolite ratio [NMR]), identified polymorphisms that 188 accounts for nearly 40% of the phenotypic variance in NMR,³⁴ but these same loci do not have a 189 similarly large effect on nicotine dependence. Consequently, there is still a gap in understanding 190 the broad and substance-specific mechanisms and the functional significance of DNA variants 191 that have been discerned to date using endo-, clinical-, and coarse phenotypes and biomarkers. 192 Some researchers at the meeting commented that mixed-linear-model-based and traditional 193 GWAS and quantitative trait locus (QTL) analyses alone cannot solve these phenotype 194 limitations because the variance structure of agglomerative phenotypes does not match that of 195 the genome and the associated structures/tissues. Others countered that well-powered GWAS 196 complemented by new post-hoc computational methods (e.g., genomic structural equation modeling ³⁵ and multivariate GWAS ³⁶, to name a few) might surmount minimal phenotyping 197 198 limitations. For a detailed example of deep phenotyping issues in a complex psychiatric disorder, 199 we recommend the recent paper by Cai et al. 2020.²⁹

200 Based on these observations, researchers recognized that other methods should help 201 complement and extend well-powered GWAS methods to address current knowledge gaps in the 202 genetic architecture of SUDs. A notable illustration arises from the characterization of the 203 complement C4 pathway in schizophrenia, which arose from a GWAS that identified a strong 204 signal in the MHC locus but required deep, cross-species cellular and molecular experiments to explicate. Previous studies^{15,37} have also indicated this will require (1) larger sample sizes, (2) 205 better phenotyping, (3) more diverse samples, (4) improved coverage of genetic variation by 206 207 GWAS arrays or greater emphasis on sequencing,³⁸⁻⁴⁰ and (5) more comprehensive system-based 208 models and hypotheses that incorporate epistasis (GxG), environmental factors, GxE, and many 209 comorbidities. Systems-based and multi-level studies would ideally model the complex nature of 210 SUDs using multiple cofactors (and confounders) and take into account the inevitability that 211 many agglomerative phenotypes will be made up of multiple mechanistically distinct sub212 phenotypes. In addition to the more nuanced and precisely defined and quantified phenotypes and cofactors (e.g., BMI for alcohol⁴¹) and confounders,⁴² such studies would also incorporate other 213 214 forms of DNA variation and potential non-linear (i.e., GxG and GxE) effects - although recent 215 studies have suggested that most of the genetic variance for complex traits appears to be largely 216 due to additive effects, with negligible dominance effects, and an indeterminate amount of epistatic effects due to power and study design issues.⁴³ While the importance of these different 217 218 issues and approaches was discussed, a diversity of opinions was expressed about GxG effects, 219 and the group did not reach a consensus. Still, it is worth noting that a negligible genome-wide 220 contribution of dominance effects does not preclude the existence of individual loci with a 221 dominant mode of inheritance. While the importance of these different issues and approaches 222 was discussed, a diversity of opinions was expressed about GxG effects, and the group did not 223 reach consensus.

224 At the sequence level, many studies are also still missing significant genetic diversity particularly from non-European populations.⁴⁴ Even though copy number variant (CNV) studies 225 of psychiatric disorders are becoming more commonplace,⁴⁵ mobile element polymorphisms, 226 227 inversions and other types of structural variants are still missed in GWAS—as are subsets of 228 variants not tagged using standard GWAS arrays or incorrectly aligned to a single canonical 229 reference genome. In short, recent insights from past studies highlight how gaps in our 230 understanding could be addressed using large and genetically diverse samples (is being achieved 231 for nicotine and alcohol, but not other substances), better phenotyping, new computational 232 methods, and long-read sequencing technologies to capture and model causal genome variants, especially those (e.g., CNVs, insertions, deletions, and inversions) not well captured by GWAS 233 arrays; see Peterson et al. 2019⁴⁶ for a detailed discussion on opportunities for diversity in 234 235 GWAS. In addition, single-cell technologies, such as single-cell-RNA-seq, and complementary 236 approaches towards studying regulatory effects of variants, among others, will help to better 237 uncover cell-type specific networks involved in SUDs, as has been documented for schizophrenia.⁴⁷ Altogether, these types of systems-based approaches that incorporate multiple 238 239 layers of genomic and environmental data will require advanced methods, that may include 240 multilevel machine learning, deep learning, and explainable-artificial intelligence techniques to

name a few; and these model-free approaches will have to accommodate features specific to the
human genome, such as population substructure, which can confound association signals.⁴⁸
Likewise, it will require a more comprehensive, integrated capture of population-scale data at
multiple omics layers (genome, epigenome, transcriptome, metabolome, microbiome) in both
model organism and human studies (see Table 1). Costs for generating multi-omic data,
including brain proteomics and metabolomics are falling rapidly and making such programs
possible.

248 Complementary to human GWAS, research using model organisms is amassing a large 249 body of evidence supporting causal roles for many genomic loci and gene variants related to SUDs (e.g., *Taar1* for methamphetamine'⁴⁹ APBA2 for addiction,⁴⁶ XRCC5 for alcohol 250 251 dependence,⁵⁰ and the use of CRISPy Critters for instance in alcohol research⁵¹). Still, these 252 findings probe only a small part of the complex central nervous system (CNS) molecular and 253 cellular networks affected by addictive substances. There is also deep sequence data on shorter 254 classes of DNA variants and expression data collected in many contexts across large populations of key model organisms, including Drosophila (the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel),^{52,53} 255 256 mouse (Collaborative Cross, the Hybrid Mouse Diversity panel, and the BXD family, 257 collectively n > 200 isogenic strains,^{54,55} and outbred mouse populations, including several heterogeneous stocks, ⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹ advanced intercross lines⁶⁰), and rat populations (e.g., Hybrid Rat 258 259 Diversity Panel and the National Institute of Health (NIH) heterogeneous stock⁶⁰, and outbred Sprague Dawley^{61,62}). As a field, behavior geneticists, both human and animal modelers, are 260 261 beginning to catalog and even understand the function(s) of subsets of variants that alter proteincoding sequence, modulate transcript and protein isoforms, or change expression.⁶³⁻⁶⁵ However, 262 263 although great progress has been made, we highlight key gaps:

264 265 1. the comparative invisibility of mobile element polymorphisms, some types of structural variants, simple tandem repeats, and rare variants, including *de novo* mutations;

266 2. the problematic nature of aligning a sequence to a linear reference genome rather than to
267 pangenomes that are savvy with respect to sequence differences among individuals and
268 ancestries; and

3. the reliance on simple additive models that cannot detect or are confounded by gene-by gene epistatic interactions or cleanly dissect and unconfound GxE effects.^{64,66}

271 Researchers at the meeting discussed gaps in knowledge and possibilities for the next 272 phase of functional discovery for substance use and disorders, which will likely require (1) the 273 construction of appropriate resources for systematic evaluation of loci function in humans, (2) 274 quantitative experimental studies of SUDs in model organisms with a more realistic level of 275 genetic complexity, (3) concerted multidisciplinary efforts to acquire additional samples for 276 discovery/validation, and (4) a shift towards causal models and quasi-experimental research 277 designs in order to understand gene-by-environment, gene-by-development, and epigenetic 278 modifiers across a range of genetically-admixed and genetically simple cohorts of model 279 organisms.

280

281 Theme A: Bridging the Gap between Human and Animal Research

282 Prioritizing variants for functional follow-up

283 In recent years, larger human GWAS have begun to produce a more robust and reliable 284 set of genomic loci and gene variants. Similarly, model system studies complement these 285 phenotype-genotype associations via behavioral neurogenetic methods, but not without 286 limitations (see Table 1). Indeed, human and model organism studies offer varying degrees of 287 power and limitations to identify a gene or network for functional follow-up. For example, 288 human GWAS require very large samples to study phenotypes that may be less proximal to the biological elements. Model organisms require smaller sample sizes, but their individual single 289 290 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and genes may not entirely map onto human biology and the 291 substance use phenotypes that operate in a complex, human environment. Given that the 292 collection of larger, more diverse GWAS samples for SUD phenotypes will require targeted data 293 collection, especially in underrepresented populations, some researchers at the meeting acknowledged that animal QTL, and other methods (e.g., recombinant inbred strains⁵⁵), can help 294 295 make headway in parallel. One area for further development includes refinement of efficient and 296 unbiased computational workflows to rank top variants and map their target genes and gene,

297 molecular, and cellular networks.

298 Researchers at the meeting discussed strategies to make advances in using integrative 299 approaches, which could rapidly locate and translate loci for SUDs. These strategies combine 300 data from GWAS in humans with well-matched experimental work in model organisms-both 301 genetically admixed crosses and gene knockout and knock-in studies. Ideally, these studies 302 would leverage a universal platform for sharing current datasets from model organisms with 303 human GWAS findings, a resource currently lacking. At the time of this publication, data from 304 model organism studies are largely isolated by species and even by strain and type. As such, they 305 are often far from FAIR compliant⁶⁷ and are just as hard to access and integrate as GWAS data 306 from heterogeneous human populations, which are not all shared on the NIH's database of 307 Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) or other repositories available to the scientific community. These realities further compound the challenge of rigorously combining human and animal 308 309 model data sets (see Theme C discussion for details).

310

Why data integration across species and multiple omics is important for expansion, discovery, and translation of genetic risk for SUDs

313 While there are many differences between behaviors, body, and brain structures of all 314 model organisms and humans, there is still a high level of genomic and functional commonality 315 that can be leveraged under tightly controlled environmental and treatment conditions. In 316 essence, a randomized controlled trial across multiple genotypes can usually be designed and implemented reasonably easily with model organisms.⁶⁸ Likewise, causal models can be 317 318 constructed to evaluate potential confounders by, for instance, comparing behavioral assays 319 across constructed genetic backgrounds of varying disease susceptibility (see Table 1: Areas of 320 Convergence). Molecular and cellular endophenotypes of SUDs are readily accessible in many 321 model organisms. Conservation of functional genes and networks across species can provide 322 genuine insight of high translational relevance-particularly when the GWAS searchlight has 323 illuminated a small number of plausible genes and genomic regions. Because of differing 324 evolutionary histories, individual variants among humans and model organisms are often not

325 conserved^{69,70}; however, the prospects of comparing genetically engineered lines to diverse 326 populations of mice holds significant promise for disease mapping and detecting epistatic 327 interactions.⁵⁵ This apparent gap in the literature highlighted why analyses are best suited to be 328 conducted at the level of genes, molecular networks, and gene sets. Still, attendees at the meeting 329 acknowledged that experimental models could complement these analyses by providing a 330 reproducible resource to identify fundamental processes and modifiers that affect aspects of SUD 331 with the goal to transition as efficiently as possible to well-reasoned interventions that reduce 332 SUD burden. Gene network perturbations that are evident in certain model organism experiments 333 and humans may highlight novel entry points for pharmaceutical intervention and innovation that 334 would be missed by the study of humans alone (e.g., modulation of an associated protein if 335 variants are in a regulatory region). Further, identification of molecular and cellular networks 336 that contribute to SUD risk, progression, and relapse will benefit from access to longitudinally 337 collected datasets to strengthen causal inferences, define and test plausible models, and refine 338 treatment options on the basis of genotypes and diplotypes.

339 Human tissues, cells, and organoids are highly useful tools for elucidating molecular and 340 cellular networks in human-relevant model systems but have fundamental limitations, especially 341 with respect to higher-order behavioral outcome variables that replicate aspects of human 342 addiction. While formal proof of the roles of DNA variants is most readily provided using geneengineered animals or specific pharmacological treatments, it is vital to note that "necessary and 343 sufficient" causal criteria depend greatly on the genomic background ⁷¹. Moreover, gene-344 345 engineered models will ideally account for genetic diversity in order to ensure that results are not 346 only replicable but are likely to have external validity across species. While some researchers 347 predicted that data generated from these approaches would show greater consilience with the 348 diversity of human behavioral outcomes, others contended that additional research is needed to 349 understand which animal paradigms and tissues best characterize the basic behavioral properties 350 and neurobiological components of addiction, respectively.

Many researchers have begun to tackle the issue of variant prioritization by integrating
 multiple sources of information.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ Indeed, most GWAS include detailed post-hoc analyses
 towards the identification of credible causal variants. Network integration is one method that can

354 permit the full illumination of patterns that are shared across gene sets derived from single omics 355 data (e.g., genetic variants, RNA-seq in bulk tissue, single-cell RNA-seq, chromatin 356 immunoprecipitation sequencing [ChIP-seq], ATAC-seq, methylome, etc.). Variant-based 357 networks can be mapped onto genes, enabling a common basis for network integration: the gene 358 level. A range of public data (e.g., ChIP-seq from ENCODE, RNA-seq from the Genotype-359 Tissue Expression [GTEx] project ⁷⁵, Hi-C data for chromatin structure ⁷⁶, protein-protein 360 interaction data, etc.) can be incorporated to add evidence for the networks' biological 361 plausibility; however several researchers advised caution as data limitations and improper 362 handling could create biased results. Further sophisticated network layers can be generated with 363 the use of new explainable-AI tools that can find highly accurate linear and nonlinear multi-way associations within and across omics layers ⁷⁷; though, as shown in the case of machine learning 364 365 using a candidate SNPs for opioid dependence, extreme care should be taken to account for 366 social inequities that permeate research practices and could likely confound biological 367 mechanisms under study.⁷⁸ After integrating the networks from the different data inputs based on gene IDs, lines-of-evidence (LOE) scoring⁷⁹ methods offer a way to establish links between the 368 369 networks, with each link adding to the score for connecting layers. Explainable-AI approaches 370 such as Iterative Random Forest- Leave One Out Prediction (iRF-LOOP) are able to find linear 371 and linear expression relationships in expression datasets derived from population-scale RNA-372 seq datasets and are more accurate than traditional co-expression approaches.⁷⁷ These 373 explainable-AI derived networks can be built from publicly available datasets (such as GTEx) to 374 provide tissue-specific regulatory patterns. They can similarly be built of single-cell-RNA-seq 375 datasets to provide cell-type-specific regulatory networks. Of course, they can also be built from 376 novel experimental data from individuals who were addicted to opioids. These networks can be 377 combined with networks derived from other data types to form a multiplex network. For 378 example, an explainable-AI-derived RNA expression network associated with opioid addiction 379 in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) may link to a genome-wide epistasis (GWES)-based network⁸⁰ 380 and a NAc-specific network assembled from the GTEx, and may also connect through to a 381 protein-protein interaction network and signaling cascade network all through common gene IDs. 382 Subsequently, Random Walk with Restart (RWR) approaches, which use an advanced form of 383 network-association that is not limited to exploring shortest paths or nearest neighbors, can

384 jointly examine these multiple heterogeneous multiplex networks while retaining the critical topological information present in each network.⁸¹ By jointly integrating multiple heterogeneous 385 386 data layers, one can score and rank candidate genes from GWAS and genome-wide epistasis 387 study (GWES) analyses using RWR-based LOE algorithms. This can help to prioritize genes 388 from GWAS/GWES results and to provide mechanistic context for the resulting filtered genes 389 sets by way of subnetworks that include the links among members of the filtered gene set and 390 links to genes highly connected to members of the gene set in the network. This context greatly 391 enhances mechanistic interpretation and the creation of conceptual models that can be used to 392 design validation experiments in human tissue or animal models. Because similar gene-based 393 networks can also be generated from model organisms, they can also be integrated with human networks via ortholog projection in order to leverage information from multiple species. 394

395

396 Challenges and Knowledge Gaps in Cross-Species Research

397 There is heterogeneity in the behavioral phenotypes and paradigms across humans and 398 model organisms, respectively, that needs to be considered when attempting to identify the 399 biobehavioral processes underlying substance use and disorders. Clinical diagnoses of SUDs in 400 humans are based on assessments of drug-seeking, physical dependence, and social disruption 401 but often struggle to quantify each of these phenotypes (e.g., the problem of going from a 402 polythetic diagnosis to understanding severity/impact of combinations of criteria on a person's life).⁸² It is often the case that qualitative symptoms are employed, and several combinations of 403 404 criterion endorsements (i.e., 2 or more of 11 DSM-5 symptoms) could result in a diagnosis. This 405 diagnostic heterogeneity (i.e., different case subjects meeting the criteria for endorsing varying sets of symptoms) leads to challenges in genetic mapping⁸³⁻⁸⁵ and alignment with unconditioned 406 407 and conditioned quantitative traits used in animal models. In contrast, animal studies place a high 408 emphasis on measuring quantity/frequency and physiological dependence. Studies of alcohol and 409 cannabis use disorders have shown quantitative and qualitative differences between the genetics 410 of consumption quantity and frequency and the genetics of the disorders (e.g., impaired functioning, physical dependence, disruption of social responsibilities). ^{86,87} Likewise, a geneset 411 412 derived from tobacco exposure paradigms in rodents shows modest enrichment for the SNP-

heritability of human tobacco consumption.⁸⁸ Notably, inbred strain comparison/selective 413 414 breeding studies have allowed scientists to examine the effects of genetic background on 415 multiple related traits.⁸⁹ Differences in the phenotypes assessed in humans and rodents may 416 therefore contribute to a partially disconnected approach to understanding risk rather than a fully 417 integrated approach, thus requiring detailed studies of consilience across phenotypes and omic-418 phenotype associations. For example, even just within humans, recent studies suggest that the 419 genetics of human alcohol consumption, particularly frequency of alcohol intake, is only partly 420 related to the genetics of alcohol problems (e.g., impaired functioning, physical dependence, 421 disruption of social responsibilities).¹⁹ Likewise, a geneset derived from tobacco exposure 422 paradigms in rodents shows modest enrichment for the SNP-heritability of human tobacco 423 consumption.⁸⁸ Therefore, differences in phenotypes and their associated genetic architecture, 424 whether within or across organisms, should be taken into consideration, and leveraged when 425 possible. As mentioned above, there is tremendous potential to build integrated, cross-species 426 multi-omics networks that can serve to unify and utilize data and extant knowledge from both 427 humans and model organisms.

428 There are several knowledge gaps that, if addressed, would help inform whether genetic 429 results for SUD phenotypes can be translated across species. These included understanding (1) 430 the degree of concordance among model organism findings, as well as (2) the extent to which 431 model organism evidence generalizes to humans, (3) the contextual implication of tissue, sex, 432 and ancestry on these effects, and (4) how unifying phenotypic definitions across databases can 433 enhance sample sizes and data integration. To date, several studies have shown enrichment of 434 mouse and rat gene sets (i.e., those that are differentially expressed in the presence of cocaine) in the human brain transcriptome for cocaine use disorder ⁹⁰, as well as human GWAS of 435 tobacco/nicotine consumption.⁸⁸ Identifying convergent genetic mechanisms between humans 436 437 and model organisms in SUDs is an exciting challenge but is (relatively) close at hand. Even 438 more daunting challenges (and rewards) are presented by the ambitious goal of identifying neural 439 pathways conserved between model organisms and humans for addiction and its associated 440 constellation of complex behaviors. Clearly, the molecular and bioinformatics tools that emerge 441 from tackling the first problem will be a starting point for attacking the second.

443 Theme B: Current tools for integration of genetic, epigenetic, and phenotypic data

Several tools (e.g., methods, software, databases) currently exist and are under active
development to aid scientists in analyzing and integrating multiple types and streams of data
from a wide variety of model organisms and diverse human populations. Here we highlight a few
that facilitate multi-omics and cross-species research. For a more comprehensive list of tools
please see the paper by Reynolds et al. 2021.⁹¹

449 *Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations (FUMA)* was developed ⁹² to

450 annotate, prioritize, visualize, and interpret GWAS results. The application integrates genome-

451 wide summary statistics with functional information, such as expression-QTL (eQTL) and

452 chromosomal interaction mapping in a tissue-specific manner to identify the most likely causal

453 SNPs. FUMA uses 18 biological data repositories (e.g., GTEx) and tools to functionally annotate

454 GWAS hits. FUMA employs two gene-mapping strategies. First, it uses Multi-marker Analysis455 of Genomic Annotation (MAGMA) to aggregate SNP-level statistics up to the gene level, which

456 enables more facile follow-up network analyses. However, MAGMA does not take gene

457 regulatory information into account when mapping SNPs to genes. Alternatively, FUMA allows

458 GWAS annotation by leveraging Hi-C and eQTL data, leveraging available data resources

459 including GTEx, Brain eQTL Almanac (BRAINEAC) ⁹³, CommonMind ⁹⁴, and

460 PsychENCODE.95

461 <u>Hi-C-associated Multi-marker Analysis of GenoMic Annotation (H-MAGMA)</u> was developed to

462 overcome limitations in MAGMA.⁹⁶ H-MAGMA advances MAGMA by incorporating long-

463 range (gene regulatory) interactions defined by Hi-C in mapping SNPs to genes. Further, it

464 adopts the genome-wide mapping capability of MAGMA and expands the gene set to follow-up

465 for molecular and biological pathway analysis. H-MAGMA has been developed on multiple Hi-

466 C datasets^{96,97}—those obtained from human fetal brains, adult brains, neurons, and glia sorted

467 from the adult dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), iPSC-derived neurons, and iPSC-derived

468 astrocytes. This enables developmental stage and cell type-specific gene mapping.

469 GeneWeaver is a suite of database and analysis tools that integrate data from expression 470 microarray, RNA-seq, QTL mapping, GWAS, and mutation and perturbation screening 471 experiments across species (yeast, worm, fly, zebrafish, mouse, rat, dog, human, and other 472 species).⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰ It also integrates protein-protein, molecular networks, and regulatory relationships 473 to impute biological functions of variants and genes to phenotypes. In addition, GeneWeaver can 474 assess molecular and trait relations through graphical network algorithms that leverage gene-475 gene and variant-variant comparison using complex, heterogeneous networks and random walk 476 or network flow-based approaches. Until recently, GeneWeaver has used a gene-based strategy 477 to integrate data because convergence or conservation of mechanism across species has typically 478 relied on gene orthology. Authoritative data resources, including model organism databases and 479 the Alliance of Genome Resources, have cataloged orthologous genes across species based on 480 sequence alignments. Functional genomics analysis systems, including GeneWeaver, have made 481 use of these reported orthologues to compare the results of genomic experiments across species 482 at the gene level. Transitive associations are made to infer cross-species orthology where 483 sequence alignment has not inferred a relationship (e.g., a Drosophila:zebrafish orthologue and 484 zebrafish:mouse orthologue can be used to infer Drosophila:mouse orthology). Although 485 functional coding variants, such as missense variants, are enriched among GWAS findings, most 486 genome-wide significant variants implicate noncoding regions.³³ These noncoding variants are 487 poorly conserved at the sequence level, and their functional interpretation presents a major 488 challenge for the field. New approaches are being developed by the GeneWeaver project for 489 mapping noncoding variants across species based on functional similarity and target orthology 490 using combined genomic data sources. These methods are being applied to prioritize GWAS-491 identified variants based on evidence obtained in model organisms.

492 <u>GeneNetwork.</u> GeneNetwork is an interactive system for genome-to-phenome analysis, QTL
493 mapping, and network integration. This resource incorporates large genetic, multi-omic, and
494 phenotype data sets for highly diverse animal model populations such as the BXD and CC lines
495 of mice, the HXB and HS rats, and several large number transcriptome data sets, including
496 GTEx. GeneNetwork integrates 40 years of animal model data relevant to NIDA, NIAAA,
497 NINDS, and NIMH missions, starting with catalytic studies by Crabbe, McClearn, Hitzemann

and Flint—especially data on behavioral variation and its linkage to gene and protein expression
in the central nervous system.^{55,68,101} The great majority of data in GeneNetwork is both open and
FAIR-compliant and can be downloaded or used on-site in combination with powerful mapping
modules that include R/qtl,^{102,103} and the Bayesian Network Webserver.¹⁰⁴

502 PrediXcan / MetaXcan. PrediXcan was developed as a gene-based association test that prioritizes 503 genes likely to be causal for the phenotype, using predicted gene expression levels, most often with GTEx as the reference.¹⁰⁵ S-PrediXcan is a variation of this test that uses summary statistics 504 505 instead of individual-level data. MultiXcan and S-MultiXcan are multivariate approaches (in 506 contrast to the single-tissue approaches of PrediXcan/S-PrediXcan) that integrate measurements 507 across tissues while accounting for correlations. Extensions of this approach are now being used 508 to transfer polygenic findings from GWAS between human populations, and the authors suggest 509 that these techniques might allow translation between species in the future.¹⁰⁶ These methods fall 510 under the family of transcriptome-wide association study (TWAS)¹⁰⁷ approaches more broadly (e.g., Fusion is a similar approach that can be performed on GWAS summary statistics).¹⁰⁷ 511

512

513 Theme C: Ensuring that data are ready for integration

514 The long-term data curation and implementation of FAIR data principles 515 (https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/) is integral to the success of integrating human and 516 model organism research and multi-omic data. FAIR standards are particularly important. 517 Without attention to data accessibility, many large and small SUD-related data sets risk 518 evaporating over a relatively short period of time-often only five to ten years. This is 519 particularly true of animal model data that tends to be highly granular and often siloed. Data 520 sharing issues aside, there is a need for (inter)national storage and curation efforts because those 521 aspects are typically beyond the scope of most research projects. Continued access to data, 522 regardless of its presumed value, is key to leveraging future technological advances. There are, 523 however, notable cases where advances in computing capacity and statistical methods greatly 524 improve the value of older data. For example, phenotype data on drugs of abuse acquired over 525 three decades ago can now be reanalyzed using new mapping algorithms (e.g., linear mixed 526 models) and full genome sequence data. For example, data generated by a team at ORNL a

527 decade ago⁶⁸ can be remapped today to generate significantly stronger and even novel results
528 than they did initially.

529 Participants discussed current knowledge gaps related to the development of metadata 530 standards and data ontologies in order to move research forward. For instance, the lack of 531 standards for describing disease phenotypes, such as those developed by the MONARCH 532 initiative (Mondo disease ontology and Human Phenotype Ontology [HPO];^{108,109}) and the 533 limited amount and quality of derived phenotypes from electronic health records. Metadata helps 534 with findability, interoperability, and usability. Because of this, participants emphasized that 535 distribution platforms and curation tools that make metadata searchable urgently need further 536 development. Overcoming these limitations would involve the identification of missing summary 537 metadata fields for human data in dbGaP, as well as making prior results and data accessible both 538 in name and in practice. Still, there is not a standard process for making data more findable and 539 readable. Participants discussed several possible approaches for making data more searchable, 540 such as using a Digital Object Identifier (DOI), machine-readable identification number, and Research Resource Identifiers (RRIDs)¹¹⁰ as possible strategies to achieving data integration. As 541 542 with all large-scale data endeavors, the researchers recognized a limitation around encryption 543 software that would enable accessibility of primary raw data and allow searches across databases 544 without the loss of de-identification. A major benefit of overcoming this limitation would be the 545 ability to work with raw data using alternative methods that meta-analysis does not permit. 546 Similarly, researchers acknowledge the limited number of Application Programming Interfaces 547 (APIs) to enable interactions between data, applications, and devices. APIs deliver data and 548 facilitate connectivity between devices and programs. Compelling prototype solutions are 549 described above, but issues remain in the widespread integration and adoption of these systems. 550 The biggest challenges are dynamic updating and organization of data for sharing and discovery 551 as well as connecting across organisms and data types (e.g., sequence, epigenomic, etc.). 552 Integration between graphical and relational databases remains a problem to be solved. To 553 address these major challenges, participants discussed areas for improvement, including a lack of 554 understanding of the following:

555 1. The degree of modularity and interoperability of existing data analysis software that can 556 be used to facilitate the integration of ChIP-seq, DNA methylation, Hi-C, RNA-seq, 557 splice variants, and structural variants information. 558 2. How gene network, epistasis, and genetic modifiers affect substance use outcomes. 559 3. How chromatin organization varies across human brain regions and in different cell 560 types. 561 4. Ancestry differences in gene regulation. 562 5. How chromatin (Hi-C) and methylation (H3K27ac) data can be combined to predict gene expression with higher accuracy. 563 564 6. How models using protein-protein interaction (or similarly relevant omic data) data can 565 help to improve the performance of existing genetic prediction tools. 566 7. How to access raw primary data while maintaining de-identification. 567 568 **Conclusions and Future Directions** 569 Genetics in human and animal models is now providing significant insights into 570 molecular causes of addiction and SUDs. However, these leads still require extensive evaluation 571 before being employed as prevention (e.g., to understand the utility of a polygenic score (PGS) 572 beyond indicators of family history) and intervention tools (e.g., to reset CNS metabolic and cellular states back to health and well adapted behavior).¹¹¹ Major gaps in the field's mechanistic 573 574 understanding of the perturbations underlying SUDs remain. Addressing these gaps and 575 advancing the field will require attention to the following areas: (1) well-powered GWAS of 576 SUDs and relevant human traits in diverse samples, (2) computational workflows that jointly 577 leverage model organisms and large human cohorts, (3) generation and integration of multi-omic 578 data across developmental stages, brain regions, molecularly defined cell types, and disease 579 conditions, (4) data harmonization across human and model organisms at the level of the 580 phenotype, as well as different omic, cellular, and systems levels, and (5) data curation and 581 sharing. 582 Meeting participants also discussed key areas for future data integration, beginning with

Meeting participants also discussed key areas for future data integration, beginning with cross-species research and data integration tools. Continued research in integrative platforms will allow the examination of various use cases that will help develop an understanding of the 585 difficulties and opportunities in data integration. As the goal is to develop a plausible set of gene 586 networks/sets from robust GWAS and fine mapping studies in mice and humans, it will be 587 important to consider the nuances of mapping top results based solely on positional data. For 588 example, previous SUD GWASs limited annotations to genes nearest to the lead SNP, and only 589 more recently have studies begun to include tissue-specific annotation methods such as H-590 MAGMA and PrediXscan, to name a few. Many researchers are working on systematic multi-591 omic integration approaches to fine map complex genetic loci and nominate target genes. Reports 592 on the progress of these efforts began at the Genetics and Epigenetics of Addiction (January 13-593 14, 2020) and are available at https://www.drugabuse.gov/research/research-data-measures-594 resources/genetics-epigenetics-ccrt/nida-genetics-consortium-ngc/nida-genetic-consortium-595 meetings-abstracts. Second, we need an increased understanding of the neurotoxic and 596 behavioral effects of drugs. This continuously evolving body of literature will facilitate 597 computational experiments to identify gene variants in underpowered GWAS. Integrative 598 analyses in humans that include model organism data could also be applied to GWAS data as 599 have been realized to date using Bayesian approaches to optimize gene identification using 600 functional categories in genetics¹¹² and *cis*- and *trans*-eQTL information in transcriptomics.¹¹³ 601

This Data Jamboree meeting represents a pivotal point in an ongoing process of
information sharing that reflects the interdisciplinary nature of addiction genetics research.
Notably, it builds on the previous report by Cates et al.,¹¹⁴ that emphasized the importance of
harmonizing phenotypes and methods of analysis among studies.

606

Even though geneticists at this meeting did not always agree on the ideal course of action
for the next phase of discovery, the debate and dialogue, spurred by a shared commitment
towards identifying tangible genetic targets, resulted in several new directions for human and
model organism research.

611 Funding & Disclosure

- 612 The authors confirm that we have no conflicts of interest to declare. This work was supported by
- 613 grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DP1 DA042103 [awarded to: RHCP],
- 614 P30DA044223 [LS & RW], R01 DA051913 [DBH & DJ]), R01 DA051908 [EOJ & DJ], U01
- 615 DA048279 [SA], R21 DA051921 [HW], DP1 DA044371 [JE], U01 DA041602 [DJS],
- 616 P50DA037844 [AAP], DA028420 [MB], DA045401 [MB], K02 DA032573 [AA], R21
- 617 DA047527 [RP], R15 DA041618 [CCP], U24 DA039832 [MM], PGC-SUD support
- 618 (MH109532 [ECJ, RP, JG, HJE, AA], and The University of Tennessee Center for Integrative
- 619 and Translational Genomics [RW].
- 620

621 Acknowledgements

- 622 We would like to thank Drs. Amy Lossie, Jonathan Pollock, Susan Wright, Roger Little and
- 623 Marti Head for their excellent organization of the workshop and their encouragement in
- 624 assembling this report. We gratefully acknowledge Ms. Michelle Myers of RTI International for
- editorial assistance and Ms. Maia Amellio of Emory University for editorial assistance, as well
- as Dr. Megan Mulligan of The University of Tennessee Health Science Center for her assistance
- 627 with the planning of the meeting.
- 628

629 Acknowledgements

- 630 The authors have no conflicts to declare.
- 631

632 Availability of Data

- 633 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this
- 634 study.

635	REFE	RENCES
636		
637	1.	Our World in Data. Our world in data homepage. n.d.; https://ourworldindata.org/.
638		Accessed October 29, 2020.
639	2.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis. 2021;
640		https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/liver-disease.htm. Accessed February 11, 2021.
641	3.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes. 2021;
642		https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/diabetes.htm Accessed October 29, 2020.
643	4.	Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to
644		mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study
645		2010. Lancet. 2013;382(9904):1575-1586.
646	5.	Goldman D, Oroszi G, Ducci F. The genetics of addictions: uncovering the genes. Nat
647		Rev Genet. 2005;6(7):521-532.
648 649	6.	Evangelou E, Ioannidis JP. Meta-analysis methods for genome-wide association studies and beyond. <i>Nat Rev Genet</i> . 2013;14(6):379-389.
650	7.	Lee CH, Eskin E, Han B. Increasing the power of meta-analysis of genome-wide
651		association studies to detect heterogeneous effects. <i>Bioinformatics</i> . 2017;33(14):i379-
652		i388.
653	8.	Zhu Z, Anttila V, Smoller JW, Lee PH. Statistical power and utility of meta-analysis
654		methods for cross-phenotype genome-wide association studies. PloS one.
655		2018;13(3):e0193256.
656	9.	Deak JD, Miller AP, Gizer IR. Genetics of alcohol use disorder: a review. Curr Opin
657		<i>Psychol.</i> 2019;27:56-61.
658	10.	Erzurumluoglu AM, Liu M, Jackson VE, et al. Meta-analysis of up to 622,409
659		individuals identifies 40 novel smoking behaviour associated genetic loci. Mol
660		Psychiatry. 2020;25(10):2392-2409.
661	11.	Jensen KP. A review of genome-wide association studies of stimulant and opioid use
662		disorders. Mol Neuropsychiatry. 2016;2(1):37-45.
663	12.	Johnson EC, Demontis D, Thorgeirsson TE, et al. A large-scale genome-wide association
664		study meta-analysis of cannabis use disorder. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(12):1032-
665		1045.
666	13.	Hancock DB, Markunas CA, Bierut LJ, Johnson EO. Human genetics of addiction: new
667		insights and future directions. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2018;20(2):8.
668	14.	Zhou H, Sealock JM, Sanchez-Roige S, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of problematic
669		alcohol use in 435,563 individuals yields insights into biology and relationships with
670		other traits. Nat Neurosci. 2020;23(7):809-818.
671	15.	Walters RK, Polimanti R, Johnson EC, et al. Transancestral GWAS of alcohol
672		dependence reveals common genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. Nat
673		Neurosci. 2018;21(12):1656-1669.
674	16.	Sanchez-Roige S, Fontanillas P, Elson SL, et al. Genome-wide association study of
675		alcohol use disorder identification test (AUDIT) scores in 20 328 research participants of
676		European ancestry. Addict Biol. 2019;24(1):121-131.

- Kranzler HR, Zhou H, Kember RL, et al. Author Correction: Genome-wide association
 study of alcohol consumption and use disorder in 274,424 individuals from multiple
 populations. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):2275.
- 18. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals
 yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet*.
 2019;51(2):237-244.
- 683 19. Sanchez-Roige S, Palmer AA, Fontanillas P, et al. Genome-Wide Association Study
 684 Meta-Analysis of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) in Two
 685 Population-Based Cohorts. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2019;176(2):107-118.
- 686 20. Quach BC, Bray MJ, Gaddis NC, et al. Expanding the genetic architecture of nicotine
 687 dependence and its shared genetics with multiple traits. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):5562.
- Wendt FR, Pathak GA, Overstreet C, et al. Characterizing the effect of background
 selection on the polygenicity of brain-related traits. *Genomics*. 2021;113(1 Pt 1):111-119.
- 22. Zhou H, Rentsch CT, Cheng Z, et al. Association of OPRM1 functional coding variant
 with opioid use disorder: a genome-wide association study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2020.
- Sun J, Kranzler HR, Gelernter J, Bi J. A genome-wide association study of cocaine use
 disorder accounting for phenotypic heterogeneity and gene-environment interaction. J *Psychiatry Neurosci.* 2020;45(1):34-44.
- Sullivan PF, Agrawal A, Bulik CM, et al. Psychiatric genomics: an update and an agenda. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2018;175(1):15-27.
- 697 25. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics C. Biological insights from
 698 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature*. 2014;511(7510):421-427.
- Wendt FR, Pathak GA, Overstreet C, et al. Natural selection influenced the genetic architecture of brain structure, behavioral and neuropsychiatric traits. *Biorxiv*. 2020.
- 701 27. Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression
 702 identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain
 703 regions. *Nat Neurosci.* 2019;22(3):343-352.
- 28. Converge Consortium. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major
 depressive disorder. *Nature*. 2015;523(7562):588-591.
- Cai N, Revez JA, Adams MJ, et al. Minimal phenotyping yields genome-wide association signals of low specificity for major depression. *Nat Genet*. 2020;52(4):437-447.
- 708 30. Deroche-Gamonet V. The relevance of animal models of addiction. *Addiction*. 2020;115(1):16-17.
- 710 31. Albert FW, Kruglyak L. The role of regulatory variation in complex traits and disease.
 711 *Nat Rev Genet.* 2015;16(4):197-212.
- Gusev A, Lee SH, Trynka G, et al. Partitioning heritability of regulatory and cell-typespecific variants across 11 common diseases. *American journal of human genetics*.
 2014;95(5):535-552.
- 715 33. Markunas CA, Johnson EO, Hancock DB. Comprehensive evaluation of disease- and
 716 trait-specific enrichment for eight functional elements among GWAS-identified variants.
 717 *Human genetics.* 2017;136(7):911-919.
- 34. Buchwald J, Chenoweth MJ, Palviainen T, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis
 of nicotine metabolism and cigarette consumption measures in smokers of European
 descent. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2020.

721	35.	Grotzinger AD, Rhemtulla M, de Vlaming R, et al. Genomic structural equation
722		modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits.
723		<i>Nat Hum Behav.</i> 2019;3(5):513-525.
724	36.	Pritikin JN, Neale MC, Prom-Wormley EC, Clark SL, Verhulst B. GW-SEM 2.0:
725		Efficient, Flexible, and Accessible Multivariate GWAS. Behav Genet. 2021.
726	37.	Palmer RH, McGeary JE, Francazio S, et al. The genetics of alcohol dependence:
727		advancing towards systems-based approaches. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2012;125(3):179-
728		191.
729	38.	Wainschtein P, Jain DP, Yengo L, et al. Recovery of trait heritability from whole genome
730		sequence data. <i>bioRxiv</i> . 2019.
731	39.	Wessel J, Majarian TD, Highland HM, et al. Rare non-coding variation identified by
732		large scale whole genome sequencing reveals unexplained heritability of type 2 diabetes.
733		2020.
734	40.	Brazel DM, Jiang Y, Hughey JM, et al. Exome Chip Meta-analysis Fine Maps Causal
735		Variants and Elucidates the Genetic Architecture of Rare Coding Variants in Smoking
730	4.1	and Alcohol Use. Biol Psychiatry. $2019;85(11):940-955$.
737	41.	Kranzier HR, Zhou H, Kember KL, et al. Genome-wide association study of alconol
/38		consumption and use disorder in $2/4,424$ individuals from multiple populations. <i>Nat</i>
739	10	Commun. $2019;10(1):1499.$
740	42.	Byrne EM, Zhu Z, Qi T, et al. Conditional GWAS analysis to identify disorder-specific
/41	10	SNPs for psychiatric disorders. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> . 2020.
742	43.	Hivert V, Sidorenko J, Rohart F, et al. Estimation of non-additive genetic variance in
743		human complex traits from a large sample of unrelated individuals. American journal of
744		human genetics. 2021.
745	44.	Martin AR, Kanai M, Kamatani Y, Okada Y, Neale BM, Daly MJ. Clinical use of current
746		polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. <i>Nat Genet.</i> 2019;51(4):584-591.
747	45.	Bergen SE, Ploner A, Howrigan D, et al. Joint Contributions of Rare Copy Number
748		Variants and Common SNPs to Risk for Schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry.
749		2019;176(1):29-35.
750	46.	Peterson RE, Kuchenbaecker K, Walters RK, et al. Genome-wide Association Studies in
751		Ancestrally Diverse Populations: Opportunities, Methods, Pitfalls, and
752		Recommendations. Cell. 2019;179(3):589-603.
753	47.	Skene NG, Bryois J, Bakken TE, et al. Genetic identification of brain cell types
754		underlying schizophrenia. Nat Genet. 2018;50(6):825-833.
755	48.	Hatoum AS, Wendt FR, Galimberti M, et al. Genetic data can lead to medical
756		discrimination: opioid use disorder as a cautionary tale. 2020.
757	49.	Shi X, Walter NA, Harkness JH, et al. Genetic polymorphisms affect mouse and human
758		trace amine-associated receptor 1 function. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0152581.
759	50.	Juraeva D, Treutlein J, Scholz H, et al. XRCC5 as a risk gene for alcohol dependence:
760		evidence from a genome-wide gene-set-based analysis and follow-up studies in
761		Drosophila and humans. <i>Neuropsychopharmacology</i> . 2015;40(2):361-371.
762	51.	Homanics GE. Gene-edited CRISPy Critters for alcohol research. <i>Alcohol.</i> 2019:74:11-
763	•	19.
764	52.	Mackay TF. Richards S. Stone EA, et al. The Drosophila melanogaster genetic reference
765		panel. <i>Nature</i> , 2012:482(7384):173-178.
		r

766	53.	Huang W, Massouras A, Inoue Y, et al. Natural variation in genome architecture among
767		205 Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel lines. Genome Res.
768		2014;24(7):1193-1208.
769	54.	Williams RW, Williams EG. Resources for systems genetics. Methods Mol Biol.
770		2017;1488:3-29.
771	55.	Ashbrook DG, Arends D, Prins P, et al. A platform for experimental precision medicine:
772		the extended BXD mouse family. Cell Syst. 2021.
773	56.	Churchill GA, Gatti DM, Munger SC, Svenson KL. The Diversity Outbred mouse
774		population. Mamm Genome. 2012;23(9-10):713-718.
775	57.	Hansen C, Spuhler K. Development of the National Institutes of Health genetically
776		heterogeneous rat stock. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1984;8(5):477-479.
777	58.	Mott R, Flint J. Simultaneous detection and fine mapping of quantitative trait loci in mice
778		using heterogeneous stocks. Genetics. 2002;160(4):1609-1618.
779	59.	Valdar W, Solberg LC, Gauguier D, et al. Genome-wide genetic association of complex
780		traits in heterogeneous stock mice. Nat Genet. 2006;38(8):879-887.
781	60.	Solberg Woods LC, Palmer AA. Using heterogeneous stocks for fine-mapping
782		genetically complex traits. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 2019:2018:233-247.
783	61.	Gileta AF, Fitzpatrick CJ, Chitre AS, et al. Genetic characterization of outbred Sprague
784		Dawley rats and utility for genome-wide association studies. <i>bioRxiv</i> . 2018.
785	62.	Fitzpatrick CJ, Gopalakrishnan S, Cogan ES, et al. Variation in the form of Pavlovian
786		conditioned approach behavior among outbred male Sprague-Dawley rats from different
787		vendors and colonies: sign-tracking vs. goal-tracking. <i>PLoS One</i> , 2013;8(10):e75042.
788	63.	Mulligan MK, Abreo T, Neuner SM, et al. Identification of a functional non-coding
789		variant in the GABA (A) receptor α^2 subunit of the C57BL/6J mouse reference genome:
790		major implications for neuroscience research. <i>Front Genet</i> . 2019:10:188.
791	64.	Stafford AM, Reed C, Baba H, et al. Taar1 gene variants have a causal role in
792		methamphetamine intake and response and interact with Oprm1. <i>Elife</i> , 2019:8.
793	65.	Dodd S, A FC, Puri BK, et al. Trace Amine-Associated Receptor 1 (TAAR1): A new
794		drug target for psychiatry? <i>Neurosci Biobehav Rev.</i> 2021:120:537-541.
795	66.	Jones P. Weighill D. Shah M. et al. Network modeling of complex data sets. <i>Methods</i>
796		Mol Biol. 2020:2096:197-215.
797	67.	Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJ, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for
798		scientific data management and stewardship. <i>Sci Data</i> . 2016:3:160018.
799	68.	Philip VM, Duvvuru S, Gomero B, et al. High-throughput behavioral phenotyping in the
800		expanded panel of BXD recombinant inbred strains. <i>Genes Brain Behav.</i> 2010;9(2):129-
801		159.
802	69.	Sirugo G. Williams SM. Tishkoff SA. The missing diversity in human genetic studies.
803	071	<i>Cell.</i> 2019:177(4):1080.
804	70.	Fan S. Hansen ME, Lo Y. Tishkoff SA, Going global by adapting local: A review of
805	70.	recent human adaptation Science 2016:354(6308):54-59
806	71	Sittig LJ, Carbonetto P, Engel KA, Krauss KS, Barrios-Camacho CM, Palmer AA
807	/ 11	Genetic Background Limits Generalizability of Genotype-Phenotype Relationships
808		Neuron 2016:91(6):1253-1259
809	72	Palmer RHC, Benca-Bachman CE, Huggett SB, et al. Multi-omic and multi-species
810		meta-analyses of nicotine consumption. <i>Transl Psychiatry</i> 2021:11(1):98
510		
53		27
54		

811 73. Farris SP, Riley BP, Williams RW, et al. Cross-species molecular dissection across 812 alcohol behavioral domains. Alcohol. 2018;72:19-31. 813 Mignogna KM, Bacanu SA, Riley BP, Wolen AR, Miles MF. Cross-species alcohol 74. 814 dependence-associated gene networks: Co-analysis of mouse brain gene expression and 815 human genome-wide association data. PloS one. 2019;14(4):e0202063. 816 75. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project https://gtexportal.org/home/. Accessed 817 03/28/2021. 818 van Berkum NL, Lieberman-Aiden E, Williams L, et al. Hi-C: a method to study the 76. 819 three-dimensional architecture of genomes. J Vis Exp. 2010(39). 820 77. Cliff A, Romero J, Kainer D, Walker A, Furches A, Jacobson D. A high-performance 821 computing implementation of iterative random forest for the creation of predictive 822 expression networks. Genes (Basel). 2019;10(12). 823 78. Hatoum AS, Wendt FR, Galimberti M, et al. Genetic Data Can Lead to Medical 824 Discrimination: Cautionary tale of Opioid Use Disorder. medRxiv. 825 2020:2020.2009.2012.20193342. 826 79. Furches A, Kainer D, Weighill D, et al. Finding new cell wall regulatory genes in 827 populus trichocarpa using multiple lines of evidence. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:1249. 828 Joubert W, Weighill D, Kainer D, et al. Gordan Bell Prize Winner: attacking the opioid 80. 829 epidemic: determining the epistatic and pleiotropic genetic architectures for chronic pain 830 and opioid addiction. SC18: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis; November, 2018. 831 832 Valdeolivas A, Tichit L, Navarro C, et al. Random walk with restart on multiplex and 81. 833 heterogeneous biological networks. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(3):497-505. 834 Lane SP, Sher KJ. Limits of current approaches to diagnosis severity based on criterion 82. 835 counts: an example with DSM-5 alcohol use disorder. Clin Psychol Sci. 2015;3(6):819-836 835. 837 Palmer RHC, Brick LA, Chou YL, et al. The etiology of DSM-5 alcohol use disorder: 83. 838 Evidence of shared and non-shared additive genetic effects. Drug and alcohol 839 dependence. 2019;201:147-154. 840 84. Palmer RH, McGeary JE, Heath AC, Keller MC, Brick LA, Knopik VS. Shared additive 841 genetic influences on DSM-IV criteria for alcohol dependence in subjects of European ancestry. Addiction. 2015;110(12):1922-1931. 842 843 Lai D, Wetherill L, Bertelsen S, et al. Genome-wide association studies of alcohol 85. 844 dependence, DSM-IV criterion count and individual criteria. Genes Brain Behav. 845 2019;18(6):e12579. Marees AT, Smit DJA, Ong JS, et al. Potential influence of socioeconomic status on 846 86. 847 genetic correlations between alcohol consumption measures and mental health. Psychol 848 Med. 2020;50(3):484-498. 849 87. Johnson EC, Demontis D, Thorgeirsson TE, et al. A large-scale genome-wide association 850 study meta-analysis of cannabis use disorder. The lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(12):1032-851 1045. 852 Palmer RH, Benca-Bachman C, Huggett S, et al. Cross-species integration of 88. transcriptomic effects of tobacco and nicotine exposure helps to prioritize genetic effects 853 854 on human tobacco consumption. Translational Psychiatry. in press.

855	89.	van Swinderen B, Greenspan RJ. Flexibility in a gene network affecting a simple
856		behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics. 2005;169(4):2151-2163.
857	90.	Huggett SB, Stallings MC. Cocaine'omics: Genome-wide and transcriptome-wide
858		analyses provide biological insight into cocaine use and dependence. Addiction biology.
859		2020;25(2):e12719.
860	91.	Reynolds T, Johnson EC, Huggett SB, et al. Interpretation of psychiatric genome-wide
861		association studies with multispecies heterogeneous functional genomic data integration.
862		Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021;46(1):86-97.
863	92.	Watanabe K, Taskesen E, van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and
864		annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):1826.
865	93.	BRAINEAC: Web server for data from the UK Brain Expression Consortium (UKBEC).
866		http://www.braineac.org/. Accessed 03/28/2021.
867	94.	Hoffman GE, Bendl J, Voloudakis G, et al. CommonMind Consortium provides
868		transcriptomic and epigenomic data for Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder. Sci Data.
869		2019;6(1):180.
870	95.	Psych EC, Akbarian S, Liu C, et al. The PsychENCODE project. Nat Neurosci.
871		2015;18(12):1707-1712.
872	96.	Sey NYA, Hu B, Mah W, et al. A computational tool (H-MAGMA) for improved
873		prediction of brain-disorder risk genes by incorporating brain chromatin interaction
874		profiles. Nat Neurosci. 2020;23(4):583-593.
875	97.	Hu B, Won H, Mah W, et al. Neuronal and glial 3D chromatin architecture illustrates
876		cellular etiology of brain disorders. 2020.
877	98.	Baker EJ, Jay JJ, Bubier JA, Langston MA, Chesler EJ. GeneWeaver: a web-based
878		system for integrative functional genomics. Nucleic acids research. 2012;40(Database
879		issue):D1067-1076.
880	99.	Bubier JA, Langston MA, Baker EJ, Chesler EJ. Integrative Functional Genomics for
881		Systems Genetics in GeneWeaver.org. Methods Mol Biol. 2017;1488:131-152.
882	100.	Bubier J, Hill D, Mukherjee G, et al. Curating gene sets: challenges and opportunities for
883		integrative analysis. Database (Oxford). 2019;2019.
884	101.	Chesler EJ, Lu L, Wang J, Williams RW, Manly KF. WebQTL: rapid exploratory
885		analysis of gene expression and genetic networks for brain and behavior. Nat Neurosci.
886		2004;7(5):485-486.
887	102.	Finazzo MS, Hoffman MS, Roberts WS, Cavanagh DM. Previous pelvic surgery in
888		patients with ovarian cancer. South Med J. 1988;81(12):1518-1520.
889	103.	Zhou X, Stephens M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association
890		studies. Nat Genet. 2012;44(7):821-824.
891	104.	Ziebarth JD, Cui Y. Precise network modeling of systems genetics data using the
892		Bayesian network webserver. Methods Mol Biol. 2017;1488:319-335.
893	105.	Barbeira AN, Pividori M, Zheng J, Wheeler HE, Nicolae DL, Im HK. Integrating
894		predicted transcriptome from multiple tissues improves association detection. <i>PLoS</i>
895		Genet. 2019;15(1):e1007889.
896	106.	Liang Y, Pividori M, Manichaikul A, et al. Polygenic transcriptome risk scores improve
897		portability of polygenic risk scores across ancestries. Biorxiv. 2020.
898		https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.12.373647v1?rss=1.

- 899 107. Gusev A, Ko A, Shi H, et al. Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide
 900 association studies. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(3):245-252.
- 901 108. Yuan S, Stratton CJ, Bao J, et al. Spata6 is required for normal assembly of the sperm connecting piece and tight head-tail conjunction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
 903 2015;112(5):E430-439.
- 904 109. Mungall CJ, McMurry JA, Kohler S, et al. The Monarch Initiative: an integrative data
 905 and analytic platform connecting phenotypes to genotypes across species. *Nucleic Acids*906 *Res.* 2017;45(D1):D712-D722.
- 907 110. Bandrowski AE, Martone ME. RRIDs: A Simple Step toward Improving Reproducibility
 908 through Rigor and Transparency of Experimental Methods. *Neuron.* 2016;90(3):434-436.
- 909 111. Fullerton JM, Nurnberger JI. Polygenic risk scores in psychiatry: Will they be useful for clinicians? *F1000Res.* 2019;8.
- 911 112. Yang J, Fritsche LG, Zhou X, Abecasis G. International age-related macular degeneration genomics C. A scalable Bayesian method for integrating functional information in
 913 genome-wide association studies. *American journal of human genetics*. 2017;101(3):404914 416.
- 915 113. Luningham JM, Chen J, Tang S, et al. Bayesian Genome-wide TWAS Method to
 916 Leverage both cis- and trans-eQTL Information through Summary Statistics. *American*917 *journal of human genetics*. 2020;107(4):714-726.
- 918 114. Cates HM, Benca-Bachman CE, de Guglielmo G, Schoenrock SA, Shu C, Kallupi M.
 919 National Institute on Drug Abuse genomics consortium white paper: Coordinating efforts
 920 between human and animal addiction studies. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2019;18(6):e12577.
- 921 115. Consortium PG. Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. <u>https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/</u>.
 922 Accessed 03/28/2021.
- 923 116. Genetics d. deCODE Genetics. <u>https://www.decode.com/</u>. Accessed 03/28/2021.
- 924 117. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for
 925 identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS*926 *Med.* 2015;12(3):e1001779.
- 927 118. Hivert V, Sidorenko J, Rohart F, et al. Estimation of non-additive genetic variance in human complex traits from a large sample of unrelated individuals. *bioRxiv*. 2020.
- 929

Table 1. Considerations and Areas of Opportunity for Data Integration					
Methodol ogical Approach	Considerations in Model Organism Genetics	Considerations for Human Genetics	Considerations for Reductionist Models (Human and Model Organisms)	Areas of Convergence	
G x E	Many populations provide favorable recombination and allele frequencies to provide adequate power to detect G x E effects	Consortia efforts (e.g., Psychiatric Genetics Consortium (PGC) ¹¹⁵ , deCODE Genetics ¹¹⁶ , UK biobank ¹¹⁷ , etc.) and integration of electronic health records can help construct large sample sizes for improved power to detect G x E effects	Not possible to mimic most environmental effects (e.g. social interactions, early life adversity, etc) in cell lines or organ cultures	-Animal models can test the effects of a specific gene implicated in human GWAS across multiple environments, or different genes in the same environment. -G x E hits from QTL mapping can be used to prioritize promising variants in human GWAS that did not meet significance thresholds due stringent corrections for multiple testing	
	Some human environments are not possible to	Some environments are unethical to			

	model in animals	impose on humans		
G x G	QTL mapping in many populations can provide sufficient power to examine other forms of DNA variation and potential nonlinear G x G effects	Need very large sample sizes (> 1 million) to detect potential nonlinear G x G effects ¹¹⁸	QTL mapping efforts should utilize genetically diverse populations in order to better extrapolate results across strains and species	-Development of new statistical models to detect G x G epistatic interactions will improve our understanding of the polygenic nature of SUDs -Use of genetically admixed, mutant, and genetically simple cohorts of model
	Structured panels of F ₁ progeny that place null alleles on different genetic backgrounds can identify G x background interactions	Consortia efforts and private Direct to Consumer biotechnology companies (e.g. 23 & me , ancestry.com) may be key to amassing large enough sample sizes for improved power to detect epistasis	If using CRISPR to study G x G interactions, researchers should test multiple genetic backgrounds	organisms can identify epigenetic modifiers
	CRISPR allows for simultaneous			

	alteration of multiple genes to examine G X G interactions		
Meta- analysis	Not commonly performed in model organisms, but the extendable nature of many populations is favorable to this approach	Meta-analysis has been key in the successful identification and replication of loci across human studies, thus increasing power and reproducibility	-Development and application of metadata standards and data ontologies (such as MONARCH) will be critical to harmonize data across organisms and data types -Improved data curation and sharing will allow for increased accessibility to all researchers -Meta-analytic studies using omics data from both mapping populations and mutant animals can detect and validate novel findings entirely <i>in silico</i>
Polygenic Risk Scores	Must account for allele frequency differences across	Must account for allele frequency differences across	-Need to develop methodology to integrate PGS between animals and

	populations	populations	humans to improve
	Not widely implemented in animal QTL mapping studies	PGS in humans have allowed cross-trait and cross-sample comparisons, greatly enhancing our knowledge of SUDs	clinical utility
	For translational studies, need to limit PGS variants to those with orthologs in humans		
Proteomi cs/ Transcrip tomics	Can be easily obtained in animals from relevant tissues, cell-types, and timepoints (post-drug, developmental)	Post-mortem brain tissue from humans is confounded by life histories, drug use patterns, time elapsed between death and brain collection	-Multi-omics data (genome, epigenome, transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, microbiome) data in both model organisms and humans can improve our understanding of GWAS hits that fall in regulatory regions -Single-cell RNAseq will help

	Multiple bioinformatics resources exist to integrate omics results (GeneWeaver, GeneNetwork)	Web-based repositories (GTEx, BRAINEAC, CommonMind, PsychENCODE) provide valuable resources to examine effects of gene expression on disease		uncover cell-type specific networks involved in SUDs -Animal models may identify mobile element polymorphisms, inversions, and other structural variants that can later be studied in human GWAS -Network integration (such as LOE, RWR) is key to permit the full illumination of patterns shared across multi- omics datasets and can be used to leverage information across species -Exploiting publicly available bioinformatics resources can provide secondary study replication/validation, increase power, and provide <i>a priori</i> information for study hypotheses and design
	•			
Function al Validatio	Multiple genetic resources exist (CRISPR, KO,	Unethical to perform gene editing studies in	Functional validation studies should test the	-Model organisms provide opportunities to test the effects of a specific gene(s)

n	transgenics, RNAi, etc) to functionally validate genes of interest in developmental-, tissue-, and cell- specific regions	humans	effects of gene manipulation on multiple genetic backgrounds	implicated in human GWAS to help elucidate the underlying biology -Functional validation studies may benefit from cross- species analysis (yeast, worms, flies allow for the
	Optogenetic and other brain stimulation approaches can isolate neurons, define pathways relevant to traits of interest	Transcranial magnetic stimulation can excite/silence brain regions in humans, but is limited		analysis of hundreds of candidate genes) -Development of efficient and unbiased computational workflows (such as FUMA GWAS, H-MAGMA, GeneWeaver, PrediXcan/MetXcan) is needed to rank top variants
	Lesion studies can readily be performed in animal models	Naturally occurring lesions can be studied		and map their cellular networks in both human and model organisms
	1	1	1	
Environm ental Control	Can more tightly control environmental parameters	Diverse environmental and lifestyle influences		-Improved statistical models that better account for confounds, Winner's Curse, and cofactors/covariates wil
	Cannot accurately	Differing		potential for both animal and

model some human components (e.g., social elements) of environments	combinations of psychiatric and other risk factors		human research
---	--	--	----------------