UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Insights From Immigrant and Refugee Communities Regarding COVID-19 Needs and Opportunities: A Mixed Methods Study

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7wr1w2tm

Journal

AJPM Focus, 2(3)

ISSN

2773-0654

Authors

Stadnick, Nicole A Cain, Kelli L Oswald, William T et al.

Publication Date

2023-09-01

DOI

10.1016/j.focus.2023.100099

Peer reviewed



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Insights from Immigrant and Refugee Communities Regarding COVID-19 Needs and Opportunities: A Mixed Methods Study

Nicole A. Stadnick, Kelli Cain, William Oswald, Paul Watson, Jesse Nodora, Shelia Broyles, Angel Lomeli, Arleth Escoto, Marina Ibarra, Raphael Lagoc, Borsika Rabin


PII: S2773-0654(23)00036-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focus.2023.100099

Reference: FOCUS 100099

To appear in: AJPM Focus

Received date: 20 December 2022 Revised date: 14 March 2023 Accepted date: 22 April 2023



Please cite this article as: Nicole A. Stadnick, Kelli Cain, William Oswald, Paul Watson, Jesse Nodora, Shelia Broyles, Angel Lomeli, Arleth Escoto, Marina Ibarra, Raphael Lagoc, Borsika Rabin, Insights from Immigrant and Refugee Communities Regarding COVID-19 Needs and Opportunities: A Mixed Methods Study, *AJPM Focus* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focus.2023.100099

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Journal of Preventive Medicine Board of Governors.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Highlights

- Immigrant and refugee communities reported common and unique trusted messengers.
- Healthcare providers were the most trusted messengers.
- Schools, ethnically-based community organizations, and friends/family were also important.
- Collaborations with these trusted sources may aid public health dissemination.

Insights from Immigrant and Refugee Communities Regarding COVID-19 Needs and Opportunities: A Mixed Methods Study

Insights from Immigrant and Refugee Communities Regarding COVID-19 Needs and Opportunities: A Mixed Methods Study

Nicole A. Stadnick^{1,2,3}, Kelli Cain⁴, William Oswald⁵, Paul Watson⁵, Jesse Nodora⁴, Shelia Broyles⁶, Angel Lomeli⁷, Arleth Escoto⁷, Marina Ibarra⁵, Raphael Lagoc⁵, Borsika Rabin^{2,4}

Corresponding Author:

Nicole Stadnick 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093 (T) 858-966-7703x247101 (E) nstadnic@health.ucsd.edu

Word count: 2587

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. The study sponsor encouraged but did not require submission of this report for publication.

Financial disclosure: No financial disclosures were reported by the authors of this paper.

¹ University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry, La Jolla, CA

² University of California San Diego Altman Clinical and Translational Research Institute Dissemination and Implementation Science Center, La Jolla, CA

³ Child and Adolescent Services Research Center, San Diego, CA

⁴ Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health and Human Longevity Science, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA

⁵ The Global Action Research Center, San Diego, CA

⁶ University of California San Diego, Department of Pediatrics, La Jolla, CA

⁷ University of California San Diego, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, La Jolla, CA

Abstract

Introduction: This mixed methods study describes processes to actively engage underserved, immigrant, and refugee communities in COVID-19 vaccine efforts to co-create culturally relevant resources and dissemination.

Methods: A survey on health care characteristics and COVID-19 attitudes was deployed between March-November 2021 followed by listening sessions conducted in December 2021. All participants were recruited through the project's Community Advisory Board. The survey, completed by 77 adults, was available in English, Spanish, Burmese, Kizigua, and Karen. Listening sessions were led by trained, multilingual, and multicultural interviewers.

Results: Doctors/healthcare providers were rated as the most trusted messengers of COVID-19 information, however, trusted sources varied across communities. Data from three listening sessions (with a total of 14 participants) expanded survey findings with a focus on trusted sources of COVID-19 communication.

Conclusions: This study confirmed the importance of healthcare professionals as trusted messengers for COVID-19 information among underserved communities. Qualitative data highlighted the importance of schools, ethnically-based community organizations, and friends/family with health and English literacy skills for immigrant and refugee communities. Findings suggest opportunities for collaboration with specific trusted sources for future public health dissemination efforts.

Trial registration: not applicable

Keywords: mixed methods; immigrants, refugees, community engagement, COVID-19, trusted sources

Abstract

Introduction: This mixed methods study describes processes to actively engage underserved, immigrant, and refugee communities in COVID-19 vaccine efforts to co-create culturally relevant resources and dissemination.

Methods: A survey on health care characteristics and COVID-19 attitudes was deployed between March-November 2021 followed by listening sessions conducted in December 2021. All participants were recruited through the project's Community Advisory Board, resided in California, USA, and identified as African American, Latina/o, Burmese, Karen, and/or Somali Bantu. The survey, completed by 77 adults, was available in English, Spanish, Burmese, Kizigua, and Karen. Listening sessions were led by trained, multilingual, and multicultural interviewers.

Results: Doctors/healthcare providers were rated as the most trusted messengers of COVID-19 information, however, trusted sources varied across communities. Data from three listening

sessions (with a total of 14 participants) expanded survey findings with a focus on trusted sources of COVID-19 communication.

Conclusions: This study confirmed the importance of healthcare professionals as trusted messengers for COVID-19 information among underserved communities. Qualitative data highlighted the importance of schools, ethnically-based community organizations, and friends/family with health and English literacy skills for immigrant and refugee communities. Findings suggest opportunities for collaboration with specific trusted sources for future public health dissemination efforts.

Trial registration: not applicable

Keywords: mixed methods; immigrants, refugees, community engagement, COVID-19, trusted sources

Background

There is substantial evidence that the COVID-19 pandemic disproportionately impacted and worsened the health and socioeconomic disparities experienced by communities of color in the United States.² The syndemic effects of COVID-19 interacting with historical and pervasive social and systemic inequities for Black, Latina/o, indigenous, immigrant, and refugee communities are deservedly receiving needed attention in scientific, popular media, and policy outlets.¹⁹ Immigrant and refugee communities may acutely and uniquely experience social and systemic inequities that impact their health outcomes and care experiences.^{5,8}

Limited health literacy (including knowledge of the US healthcare system), lower English proficiency, discrimination, and experience of community violence post re-settlement have been demonstrated as indicators of poorer health outcomes and challenges accessing and receiving healthcare services. The immigrant and refugee communities in the United States are from diverse geographical and cultural backgrounds who likely experienced varied immigration experiences. These factors may influence their expressions and perspectives of health and healthcare consumption. The vast diversity of immigrant and refugee communities and the complex immigration and refugee resettlement experience require cultural sensitivity and competence by U.S. hosts. This is particularly important in health care contexts, which are often a first point of interaction after arriving in the U.S.

San Diego has been a major hub for immigrant and refugee communities. ¹⁹ In fiscal year 2020-2021, San Diego resettled the highest number of refugees in the state of California. ¹ Approximately 27% of the city of San Diego's population is foreign-born with individuals immigrating from at least 115 countries and territories. The most prevalent foreign-born communities in the City of San Diego are from Latin America, Asia, and Europe. Those from

Africa have contributed most to the growth of foreign-born communities in San Diego over the past several years.¹⁸

A recent report and publication¹¹ produced in collaboration with the Partnership for the Advancement of New Americans (PANA) documented how the COVID-19 pandemic affected refugee communities in San Diego. Since the start of the pandemic, refugee communities have experienced significant barriers in obtaining sustained employment, food security, safe and affordable housing, and access to health care. In addition, the complexities of anti-immigrant rhetoric have influenced perceptions of safety, leading to a decline in mental health among refugee communities. COVID-19 has exacerbated the health disparities that refugee communities face, contributing to low testing rates, lack of trust in their health care providers, and decreasing rates in vaccine uptake due to vaccine hesitancy.¹⁹

The National Institutes of Health launched several rapid response funding opportunities for community-engaged research efforts to reduce disparities in COVID-19 clinical trial participation, access to care, and vaccine uptake. ¹² One such NIH initiative is the Community Engagement Alliance Against COVID-19 Disparities (CEAL)³ that includes community-academic teams in 11 states throughout the United States and focuses on COVID-19 awareness and education research for communities most affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The CEAL team in California involves a community-academic network of 11 academic institutions in California, including UC San Diego. The purpose of this mixed methods study is to describe findings from the UC San Diego CEAL team's project focused on multi-level barriers, facilitators, and processes to engage underserved communities in San Diego in COVID-19 vaccine efforts to inform co-creation of culturally relevant community resources and

dissemination approaches. This paper specifically focuses on the role of trusted messengers of health information during the COVID-19 pandemic across multiple underserved communities.

Methods

Study Design

This mixed methods study used an explanatory sequential design. A quantitative survey regarding health and health care characteristics, COVID-19 attitudes and experiences was first deployed followed by a series of qualitative listening sessions designed to expand upon specific survey findings. The MASKED Institutional Review Board approved this study. All participants provided their verbal or written consent to share their data.

Procedures

Participants invited to complete the quantitative survey were recruited through the project's Community Advisory Board (please see ¹⁴ for more details) and Community Partner (the Global Action Research Center) by sharing a link or paper copies of the survey to their community networks. The survey was available and distributed in the following languages: English, Spanish, Burmese, Kizigua, and Karen. The goal was to receive survey responses from 15 members in each of the following communities: African American, Latina/o, Burmese, Karen, and Somali Bantu. The survey took approximately 30 minutes to complete. Survey data were collected between March-November 2021. Participants received a \$20 gift card for completing the survey.

A subset of survey respondents was invited to participate in a 1-hour qualitative listening session to expand on their survey responses. Individuals were invited to participate in a listening session if they: 1) agreed to be contacted about a follow-up listening session when they completed the survey, and 2) identified as being a member of the Karen, Latina/o, or Somali

Bantu community. These three community groups were selected to participate in a listening session because they are among the most prevalent immigrant and refugee communities in the local study area and the study's Community Partner (the Global Action Research Center) has established relationships with ethnically-based organizations serving these community groups. The sample goal for each listening session was six participants per community. A total of three listening sessions were conducted in each of the following languages: Karen, Spanish, and Kizigua. Listening sessions were conducted in December 2021 and January 2022 via Zoom or in-person. Listening session participants received a \$40 gift card.

To facilitate culturally appropriate and authentic engagement, three Community

Specialists were hired and trained as research staff to support quantitative and qualitative data
collection for communities who spoke Burmese, Karen, and Kizigua. Community Specialists
were nominated by the project's Community Advisory Board for their leadership and
engagement skills within their respective communities and their fluency in multiple languages
spoken by local immigrant and refugee communities. The Community Specialists completed the
University of Illinois, Chicago Human Subjects Research CIRTification Online program along
with an initial 1- hour training with UC San Diego research staff regarding the conduct of inperson and remote listening sessions and data collection procedures. The specific activities that
Community Specialists led included administration of the quantitative survey, conduct of
listening sessions in specific languages, and translation/transcription of data into English. These
Community Specialists received a stipend (\$400 for survey data collection activities; \$400 for
listening session data collection activities) for completing these activities.

Measures

The quantitative survey included 27 items from the CEAL Common Survey (version 1) developed by National Needs Assessment and Evaluation Working Group. The 27 items assessed domains of (1) COVID-19 preventive behaviors and trusted sources of pandemic information, (2) COVID-19 clinical trial participation, (3) COVID-19 vaccine attitudes and experiences, (4) sociodemographic characteristics, and (5) impacts of COVID-19 on daily activities. Two additional items were developed for this project related to motivations for COVID-19 vaccination and three items related to vaccine status. In this article, we prioritized examining and reporting on the domain of trusted source of pandemic information.

The listening session guide was iteratively developed in partnership between the academic and community partners after reviewing findings from the survey data and was designed to expand upon the survey findings in service of the larger project goal to inform culturally relevant community resources and dissemination approaches. The final listening session guide focused on further understanding the trusted sources of COVID-19 information in San Diego immigrant and refugee communities and which sources should be used when communicating information about public health issues to improve reach and trust. A semi-structured interview was led by one facilitator who posed the following questions: 1) Where did you get your information about the COVID-19 pandemic? 2) Which sources did you trust and why? 3) What did you do with the information you received? 4) What can be done to make sure you have easy access to trusted information? 5) Would you like to share anything else about your experience receiving information related to the COVID-19 pandemic?

Analysis

Survey data were analyzed descriptively by community group (African American, Latina/o, Karen, Burmese, Somali Bantu). The listening session qualitative data were analyzed

using a rapid analytic approach ⁷ that involved first developing a templated matrix of summary responses from each question posed and responded to in the listening sessions. All qualitative data were professionally transcribed and translated into English. Following, the community-academic team reviewed the matrix of qualitative summary responses and co-developed emergent themes regarding trusted sources of COVID-19 and health information. Because the qualitative data were intended to expand on the survey findings related to the reasons why certain sources of information were trusted (or not), particular attention was focused on similarities and differences in qualitative responses across the listening sessions based on the community group that participated. A joint display was produced to triangulate data from the survey and listening session data.

Results

A total of 77 adults consented to participate and shared their responses on the paper (58%) or online (42%) surveys. The approximate response rate is 65% based on the number of individuals who started an online survey (n=49) relative to the number who completed an online survey. A subset of 14 adults from the survey sample and who expressed interest in participating in a follow-up qualitative data collection participated in a listening session. Table 1 reports characteristics of the survey and listening session respondents.

From the survey data, results revealed that the most trusted sources for accurate information about COVID-19 reported by all community groups were doctors/healthcare providers, the U.S. Coronavirus Task Force, the U.S. Government, and closer friends or family. The least trusted sources were social media and news on the radio, TV, online or newspaper. Faith leaders were the most trusted source among Somali Bantu participants and the least trusted source among English-speaking, African American participants.

Qualitative data from three listening sessions conducted in Karen, Spanish, or Kizigua expanded survey findings by enriching understanding of the breadth of trusted sources of COVID-19 communication and reasons why specific sources were trusted by unique communities. In addition to health care providers, the Karen, Latina/o, and Somali Bantu communities described the important and unique roles of their family, children, and friends for receiving up-to-date information and recommendations for protecting their health. For those with school-aged children, listening session participants reported the usefulness of receiving communication from their children's school about COVID-19 preparedness and response. Karen and Somali Bantu participants uniquely shared the essential role of local, ethnically-based community organizations that disseminated COVID-19 health information and resources in their preferred language and easily accessible communication modes. Figure 1 is a joint display that juxtaposes survey data about rank-ordered trusted COVID-19 messengers with qualitative listening session data with respect to the rationale for trusted messengers and the utility of COVID-19 information accessed.

Discussion

This mixed methods CEAL study offers important and understudied perspectives from underserved, immigrant, and refugee communities in Southern California about trusted messengers of COVID-19 information. Overall, findings confirmed the key role of healthcare professionals as trusted disseminators of COVID-19 public health information and guidance. Additional expansion of this information with qualitative data highlighted the importance of schools, ethnically-based community organizations, and friends or family with health and English literacy skills for immigrant and refugee communities to access, understand, and act upon COVID-19 prevention and response recommendations. These findings are generally

consistent with results from recent publications that examined differences in trusted messengers for sub-groups of underserved communities. A recent national report 16 identified doctors as one of the key messengers of information due to their honesty, consistency, and lack of bias in conveying important information. When exploring cultural and ethno-racial differences in preferred health information sources based on data from the Health Information National Trends Survey, Weldon 17 and colleagues found that doctors were ranked as a primary source of health information followed by government, family and friends, and charity and faith-based organizations. Further, Weldon et al. 17 reported that trust in faith-based organizations was associated with limited English proficiency. Findings from the current study indicated that the role of faith-based leaders as trusted messengers of health information varied across cultural groups whose primary languages were not English. Specifically, the Somali Bantu community endorsed higher ratings of trust in faith leaders compared to Latino/a and Karen community members.

This study has several strengths and limitations. A noteworthy strength is the successful engagement of immigrant and refugee communities living in San Diego, one of the primary resettlement hubs in the United States, in COVID research and service. To invite participation from these communities, the CEAL team relied on the expertise of Community Advisory Board members and Community Specialists who identified as members of local immigrant and refugee communities and had strong relationships with ethically-based community organizations.

Following the principles for community engagement ¹⁰, both the Community Advisory Board members and Community Specialists were provided with compensation for their time and expertise to meaningfully engage their community networks. This study is also strengthened by the mixed methods design that allowed the quantitative survey data to be contextualized and

further detailed and described by the qualitative listening session data. And finally, all data collection and interpretation were conducted by trained investigators using the language from the respondent's country of origin.

Balanced with these strengths are limitations in the design and potential generalizability of findings. The primary limitation is the small sample sizes within each of our diverse community groups. The efforts to engage these underserved, immigrant, and refugee communities in culturally competent and meaningful ways necessitated dedicated financial, personnel, and time resources that precluded a larger sample size for the survey or listening sessions. For example, the Somali Bantu community engaged in our study primarily uses oral language to communicate, therefore, our Community Specialist needed to complete the survey in an interview style for all participants. Because of the relatively small sample size for the quantitative and qualitative data and that we did not have the opportunity to conduct a listening session with English-speaking participants, caution is recommended in generalizing findings to other underserved, immigrant, and refugee communities and those outside of Southern California.

Another limitation is that we were not able to report on a larger number of demographic characteristics. Although we included preferred language, age, gender, employment status, education, and household size, we aimed to minimize response burden in our survey and listening sessions. As such, we identified, with our Community Partner, the minimal number of important demographic data to collect to maximize our opportunity to ask more substantive questions about trusted sources of health information.

Taken together, our findings suggest several opportunities to actively engage specific trusted sources in future public health dissemination efforts. Healthcare providers are clearly

central to trusted health dissemination for the immigrant and refugee communities in our study. To expand healthcare provider influence, it is recommended that health systems develop and nurture strategic partnerships with local elementary and high schools, ethnically-based, and community-based organizations for public health preparedness and response initiatives. Community health workers may be particularly helpful to reinforce and clarify health guidance initially relayed by healthcare professionals. Associated resources to support these partnerships are essential including access to up-to-date public health surveillance, best practices, and community resources, and staff who can translate this public health guidance into culturally and linguistically relevant materials.

Understanding priorities and preferences of underserved communities, *a priori*, is especially critical to address the health equity gap that exists for these groups. Specifically, providing information about critical public health concerns such as the COVID-19 pandemic using messengers who are most trusted by communities of color and immigrant communities has a potential to increase their acceptance and uptake of this information, and lead to better public health outcomes.

Acknowledgements

- We would like to extend our gratitude for the time and wisdom shared by the MASKED
 Community Advisory Board members and Community Specialists, MASKED.
- **Funding:** This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health: OTA-21-312-0217571-66106L (MASKED); and the MASKED (MASKED).
- The contents in this article have not been published elsewhere.
- No financial disclosures were reported by the authors of this paper.

• CRediT Author Statement


- •
- Nicole Stadnick: designed the study and methods; drafted sections of the manuscript;
 reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version; funding acquisition.
- **Kelli Cain**: led the data collection and analysis; drafted sections of the manuscript; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- William Oswald: designed the study and methods; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Paul Watson: designed the study and methods; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Jesse Nodora: supported the community outreach, engagement, and collection of community member perspectives; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Shelia Broyles: supported the community outreach, engagement, and collection of community member perspectives; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.

- Angel Lomeli: drafted sections of the manuscript; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- **Arleth Escoto**: drafted sections of the manuscript; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Marina Ibarra: supported the community outreach, engagement, and collection of community member perspectives; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Raphael Lagoc: supported the community outreach, engagement, and collection of community member perspectives; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Borsika Rabin: designed the study and methods; drafted sections of the manuscript; reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version; funding acquisition.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Joint display reporting expanded insights about COVID-19 trusted information sources

| | e 1. Joint display reporting expanded insights about COVID-19 | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| Community | Trusted Sources of COVID-19 Information | Trusted Sources from | Exemplar quotes |
| | (based on endorsed ratings of "trust a great deal") | Listening Sessions | about trusted |
| | | | sources and how |
| | | | the information |
| | | | was used |
| Karen | 80 73 60 53 40 20 Doctor/healthcare provider U.S. Coronavirus Task Force U.S. Government Faith leader Closer friends/family members Work peers/classmates/peers News on radio, TV, online, newspapers | Doctors and healthcare professionals Family members, primarily the respondents' children Karen Organization of San Diego Media/news Religious figures or influences (less common) | -"I believe the trustworthy source is the information I received directly from the hospital. Then the information is passed down to the Karen Organization, and they share the information with us. I believe in doctors the most because they have resources to prove and see it's the real virus." -"I heard information from my children, if it wasn't for my children, I wouldn't know." -"The same goes for me, if my friend told me or my sister told me that we have increased cases, I heard it from her." -"She [family/friend] listens to television, the American news on TV that speaks English. In the news, we also see illustrations (charts, data, etc.). If they said it without pictures I wouldn't understand." |






Table 1. Sample Characteristics

| Table 1. Sample Characteristics | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Survey Respondents | Listening Session |
| | | Participants |
| | n=77 | n=14 |
| Preferred Language, n (%) | | |
| Spanish | 18 (23.4%) | 4 (28.6%) |
| Karen | 15 (19.5%) | 4 (28.6%) |
| Kizigua | 15 (19.5%) | 6 (42.9%) |
| Burmese | 15 (19.5%) | |
| English ¹ | 14 (18.2%) | |
| Gender, n (%) | | |
| Female | 63 (81.8%) | 11 (78.6%) |
| Male | 12 (15.6%) | 3 (21.4%) |
| Other/Not Reported | 2 (2.6%) | |
| Age | | |
| 18-29 years | 25 (32.5%) | 4 (28.6%) |
| 30-39 years | 10 (12.9%) | 2 (14.3%) |
| 40-49 years | 16 (20.8%) | 3 (21.4%) |
| 50-59 years | 13 (16.9%) | 4 (28.6%) |
| 60-77 years | 10 (12.9%) | 1 (7.1%) |
| Employment Status, n (%) ² | | |

| Part or full time employed | 27 (35.1%) | 4 (28.6%) | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Staying at home to care for the home | 21 (27.3%) | 4 (28.6%) | | | |
| or others | | , , | | | |
| Not working | 12 (15.6%) | 2 (14.3%) | | | |
| Retired | 8 (10.4%) | 1 (7.1%) | | | |
| Attending school | 4 (5.2%) | | | | |
| Other | 9 (11.7%) | 2 (14.3%) | | | |
| None of the above/No response | 10 (13.0%) | 3 (21.4%) | | | |
| Household Size, n (%) | , , , | , | | | |
| 1-2 | 12 (16.2%) | 1 (7.1%) | | | |
| 3-5 | 43 (58.1%) | 8 (57.2%) | | | |
| 6-8 | 19 (25.7%) | 5 (35.7%) | | | |
| Highest degree or level of school completed, | | , | | | |
| n (%) | * | | | | |
| Less than high school | 30 (39.0%) | 9 (64.3%) | | | |
| Some high school | 8 (10.4%) | 2 (14.3%) | | | |
| High school graduate or GED | 15 (19.5%) | 2 (14.3%) | | | |
| Associate's or technical degree | 6 (7.8%) | 1 (7.1%) | | | |
| Bachelor's degree | 7 (9.1%) | | | | |
| Graduate degree | 6 (7.8%) | | | | |
| Prefer not to answer | 3 (3.9%) | | | | |
| Trusted Sources of COVID-19 Information | | | | | |
| (based on endorsed ratings of "trust a great | | | | | |
| deal"), n (%) | | | | | |
| Doctor/healthcare provider | 55 (71.4%) | 8 (57.1%) | | | |
| U.S. Coronavirus Task Force | 51 (66.2%) | 7 (50.0%) | | | |
| U.S. Government | 40 (51.9%) | 6 (42.9%) | | | |
| Faith Leader | 30 (39.0%) | 6 (42.9%) | | | |
| Closer friends/family members | 30 (39.0%) | 3 (21.4%) | | | |
| Work peers/classmates/peers | 17 (22.1%) | 2 (14.3%) | | | |
| News on radio, TV, online, | 17 (22.1%) | 3 (21.4%) | | | |
| newspapers | | | | | |
| Social media contacts | 11 (14.3%) | 1 (7.1%) | | | |
| 111 1 D1 1 16. 1 . 2 D | 1 . 11 11 | _ | | | |

¹ Identified as Black or African American. ² Respondents could select more than 1 option.

References

- 1) Admissions & Arrivals: Refugee Arrivals by State and Nationality. Refugee Processing Center. (2021) 1-14.
- 2) Chang CD. Social Determinants of Health and Health Disparities Among Immigrants and their Children. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*. Jan 2019;49(1):23-30. doi:10.1016/j.cppeds.2018.11.009
- 3) Community Engagement Alliance (CEAL) against COVID-19 Disparities. National Institutes of Health (NIH). 2021. Accessed September 16, 2022 https://covid19community.nih.gov
- 4) Fareed N, Swoboda CM, Jonnalagadda P, Walker DM, Huerta TR. Differences Between Races in Health Information Seeking and Trust Over Time: Evidence From a Cross-Sectional, Pooled Analyses of HINTS Data. *Am J Health Promot*. Jan 2021;35(1):84-92. doi:10.1177/0890117120934609
- 5) Feinberg I, O'Connor MH, Owen-Smith A, Dube SR. Public health crisis in the refugee community: little change in social determinants of health preserve health disparities. *Health Educ Res.* Apr 12 2021;36(2):170-177. doi:10.1093/her/cyab004
- 6) Feinberg I, O'Connor MH, Owen-Smith A, Ogrodnick MM, Rothenberg R. The Relationship Between Refugee Health Status and Language, Literacy, and Time Spent in the United States. *Health Lit Res Pract*. Dec 11 2020;4(4):e230-e236. doi:10.3928/24748307-20201109-01
- 7) Hamilton AB. Rapid Qualitative Analysis: Updates & Developments. HRSD Veteran Affairs. 2020. https://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/for_researchers/cyber_seminars/archives/3846-notes.pdf
- 8) Krieger N. Discrimination and health inequities. *Int J Health Serv.* 2014;44(4):643-710. doi:10.2190/HS.44.4.b
- 9) Mann EM, Klosovsky A, Yen C, et al. Health challenges in refugee resettlement: an innovative multi-sector partnership to improve the continuum of care for resettled refugees. *J Travel Med*. Nov 09 2020;27(7) doi:10.1093/jtm/taaa103
- 10) McCloskey DJ, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Michener JL, Akintobi TH, Bonham A, Cook J, Coyne-Beasley T, Dozier A, Duffy R, Eder M. *Principles of community engagement*. National Institutes of Health (2011). p 3-41.
- 11) McDougal L, Frikat J, Yusufi H, Sahid R, Streuli S, Fielding-Miller R. Understanding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on refugee communities in San Diego, California: A participatory action research cross-sectional study. *SSM Popul Health*. Jun 2022; 18:101110. doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101110
- 12) National Institutes of Health (NIH). NIH to invest \$29 million to address COVID-19 disparities (2021). Accessed September 16, 2022. https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-invest-29-million-address-covid-19-disparities.
- 13) Salhi C, Scoglio AAJ, Ellis H, Issa O, Lincoln A. The relationship of pre- and post-resettlement violence exposure to mental health among refugees: a multi-site panel survey of Somalis in the US and Canada. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. Jun 2021;56(6):1015-1023. doi:10.1007/s00127-020-02010-8
- 14) Stadnick NA, Cain KL, Oswald W, et al. Co-creating a Theory of Change to advance COVID-19 testing and vaccine uptake in underserved communities. *Health Serv Res.* Jun 2022;57 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):149-157. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13910

- 15) Tai DBG, Sia IG, Doubeni CA, Wieland ML. Disproportionate Impact of COVID-19 on Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups in the United States: a 2021 Update. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. Dec 2022;9(6):2334-2339. doi:10.1007/s40615-021-01170-w
- 16) The Trusted Messenger Study: The Inaugural Study of Who Americans Trust on Social and Societal Issues. Ad Council Research Institute. (2022). 1-46.
- 17) Wheldon CW, Carroll KT, Moser RP. Trust in Health Information Sources among Underserved and Vulnerable Populations in the US. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2020;31(3):1471-87. doi:10.1353/hpu.2020.0106
- 18) Wong TK, Sanchez M. Immigration Integration in the City of San Diego. US Immigration Policy Center. (2020) 1-27.
- 19) Yusufi H, Fielding-Miller R, Frost E. San Diego County Refugee Experiences Report. Partnership for the Advancement of New Americans (PANA). (2021). 1-6.
- 20) Zeidan AJ, Khatri UG, Munyikwa M, Barden A, Samuels-Kalow M. Barriers to Accessing Acute Care for Newly Arrived Refugees. *West J Emerg Med.* Oct 16, 2019;20(6):842-850. doi:10.5811/westjem.2019.8.43129