

UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

The Missing Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy Tube

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/36w6q40k>

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 11(1)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Robinson, Darlene Y
Hamilton, Amber V

Publication Date

2010

Copyright Information

Copyright 2010 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

The Missing Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy Tube

Darlene Y. Robinson, MD*
Amber V. Hamilton, BS†

* University of Maryland School of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine, Baltimore, MD
† Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles, CA

Supervising Section Editor: Sean Henderson, MD

Submission history: Submitted November 6, 2009; Revision Received November 13, 2009; Accepted November 16, 2009

Reprints available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem

[West J Emerg Med. 2010; 11(1):108-109.]

A 73-year-old man was brought to the emergency department (ED) after his caregiver noticed his percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube was missing. It had been placed because of poor oral intake related to dementia. The caregiver stated that she last flushed the tube the day before and that the patient had been eating and drinking without difficulty. The patient was alert but disoriented. He appeared to be in no distress and could follow commands. His abdomen was soft, non-tender, and not distended, with good bowel sounds. The stoma site was intact, with no signs of infection. He was given an oral challenge and tolerated it well. As he was being prepared for discharge, the caregiver stated, "I still don't know what happened to that tube. We looked for it everywhere!" Based on that new information, the treating physician requested an abdominal radiograph. It revealed that the PEG tube had migrated to the right lower abdomen (Figure). Computed tomography scan confirmed its location in the distal ileum and cecum. The patient was admitted to the hospital and managed conservatively. Serial radiographs tracked the tube's migration, and it was expelled during defecation on the second day.

Debilitated and elderly patients may require placement of PEG tubes for nutritional support. Because many of them cannot communicate effectively, caregivers' history becomes key to the diagnosis of complications. Complications associated with PEG tubes can occur upon insertion, during the immediate postoperative period, or after the tube has been in place for weeks, months, or years. We list the major and minor complications stemming from the disconnection and migration of PEG tubes in Table 1.¹ The overall complication rate associated with PEG tubes has been reported as 4% to 24%.² Major complications (those that require surgical intervention or are life threatening) emerge in 3% to 4% of tube recipients. Minor complications are more common, occurring in 7% to 20% of patients. Use of an external bumper decreases the likelihood of dislodgement and migration.³

In the ED, if a patient's PEG tube cannot be found, the possibility of tube migration should be considered. Abdominal radiographs can confirm or exclude this condition. A patient




Figure. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube in lower abdomen

with a PEG tube that has migrated into the intestinal tract can be managed conservatively but should be monitored for signs of obstruction and perforation. A foreign body such as a PEG tube can usually traverse the intestines if it passes the pylorus; however, passage through the ileocecal valve can lead to obstruction, necessitating surgical intervention.⁴

Acknowledgement

The manuscript was copyedited by Linda J. Kesselring, MS, ELS, the technical editor/writer in the Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland.

Table. Complications associated with percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tubes¹

Major	Minor
Sepsis	Peristomal wound infections
Peritonitis	Tube obstruction or fragmentation
Premature removal	Leakage around the tube
Migration through gastric wall	Migration through small bowel
Perforation	Ileus
Fistula	Cellulitis
Hemorrhage	Hematoma
Necrotizing fasciitis	
Tumor implantation at stoma	
Aspiration	
Intra-abdominal abscess	
Gastric outlet obstruction	
Early tube extrusion	

Adapted from Lin HS, Ibrahim HZ, Kheng JW, et al. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy: strategies for prevention and management of complications. *Laryngoscope*. 2001; 111:1847–52.

Address for Correspondence: Darlene Y. Robinson, MD, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, 110 South Poca Street, 6th Floor, Suite 200, Baltimore MD 21201. Email: darrobmd@aol.com

REFERENCES

1. Lin HS, Ibrahim HZ, Kheng JW, et al. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy: strategies for prevention and management of complications. *Laryngoscope*. 2001; 111:1847–52.
2. Lynch CR, Fang JC. Prevention and management of complications of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tubes. *Pract Gastroenterol*. 2004; XXVIII:66, 68–70, 72–6.
3. Overstreet M. How does a PEG tube stay in? *Nursing*. 2004; 34:21.
4. Hussien M, Fawzy M, Carey D. Percutaneous endoscopic gastroscopy tube migration: a rare cause of a common surgical problem. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2001; 55:557–9.