UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Considerations regarding the future of Step 2 CK and the neurosurgery residency match

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0mq8c3c8

Authors

Reardon, Taylor Brown, Nolan J Beyer, Ryan S <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022

DOI

10.25259/sni_511_2022

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

www.surgicalneurologyint.com

ScientificScholar (nowledge is power Publisher of Scientific Journals

Letter to the Editor

Surgical Neurology International

Editor-in-Chief: Nancy E. Epstein, MD, Clinical Professor of Neurological Surgery, School of Medicine, State U. of NY at Stony Brook. Editor

SNI: General Neurosurgery

Eric Nussbaum, MD National Brain Aneurysm and Tumor Center, Twin Cities, MN, USA



Considerations regarding the future of Step 2 CK and the neurosurgery residency match

Taylor Reardon¹, Nolan J. Brown², Ryan S. Beyer², Daniel Streetman³, Julian L. Gendreau⁴, Michael Y. Oh²

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Kentucky College of Osteopathic Medicine, University of Pikeville, Pikeville, Kentucky, ²Department of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, California, ³Department of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, Mercer University, Macon, Georgia, ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Whiting School of Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States.

E-mail: *Taylor Reardon - taylorreardon@upike.edu; Nolan J. Brown - nolanb@hs.uci.edu; Ryan S. Beyer - beyerrs@hs.uci.edu; Daniel Streetman daniel.ryan.streetman@live.mercer.edu; Julian L. Gendreau - juliangendreau@gmail.com; Michael Y. Oh - ohm2@hs.uci.edu



*Corresponding author: Taylor Reardon, Kentucky College of Osteopathic Medicine, University of Pikeville, Pikeville, Kentucky, United States.

taylorreardon@upike.edu

Received :	01 June 2022
Accepted :	07 June 2022
Published :	01 July 2022

DOI 10.25259/SNI 511 2022

Quick Response Code:



To the Editor.

With the United States Medical Licensing Examination Step 1 converted to a pass/fail system, discussions surrounding the de facto need for an alternate standardized measure of performance have indicated that increased emphasis will be placed on the numeric Step 2 clinical knowledge (CK) score for future residency application cycles.^[1,2,7,8] While this remains the leading consensus at present, this purported increased weight of Step 2 CK has generated another interesting discussion: with the move toward basing candidate selection on factors other than standardized examination scores, how would residency candidate selection would be impacted if the National Board of Medical Examiners transitions Step 2 CK to a pass/fail scoring system (eliminating any numerically scored board exams for medical students before residency)?^[2] In considering this scenario, we herein provide an overview of the potential outcomes of such a change with respect to both parties involved in the match – the programs and their respective residency applicants.

Without standardized exam scores, programs would no longer be able to implement score cutoff screens and, therefore, would be challenged to filter through a large volume of applications lacking board exam metrics. Further compounding this challenge, it is possible that there could be an increased number of applicants into neurosurgery based on the reasoning that the previous barriers of entry (high numeric scores on board exams) would be eliminated. While this is beneficial for students that did not perform as well but have geared their applications to neurosurgery, the sheer volume of applicants would be difficult to holistically analyze. In addition, when it comes to seeking alternative metrics, further emphasis may be placed on research publications, further perpetuating the "arms race" to stand out among residency applicants.^[6] Research would become of particular importance in the most competitive specialties such as neurosurgery, a specialty in which the baseline successful applicant has already been expected to produce significant quantities of research, even before board exam score changes. In 2020, for example, successful neurosurgery applicants had an average of 23.4 presentations, publications, and abstracts, and this number (as well as average number of research items in other specialties) would be expected to grow if both step exams become pass/fail.^[4] For the purpose of adjusting to the new demands of "standing out in the match," completion of a research year within medical school (which is already popular among neurosurgery applicants) could become virtually standardized, an endeavor that further contributes to the already-large financial burden of

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2022 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Surgical Neurology International

medical education. A transition to pass/fail board exams could also increase importance of pre-clinical performance, possibly manifesting as counterproductive to the goal of decreasing stress associated with standardized examinations, and potentially placing students from schools that have pass/fail preclinical grading at a disadvantage. Increased emphasis on medical school prestige is also predicted, potentially creating difficulties for students that do not attend highly ranked medical schools.^[7] Altogether, this points back to the intended function of scored board exams to provide a standardized measure of knowledge and irrespective of medical school prestige.

With respect to the topic of equity in the match, populations underrepresented in medicine, such as first-generation physicians, females, students without home residency programs, osteopathic medical graduates, and international medical graduates, would likely be negatively impacted by a Step 2 CK scoring change. Dependence on nonstandardized metrics such as medical school prestige and research publications may provoke rather than palliate bias, since subjective metrics have been shown to discriminate against students from underrepresented groups.^[5] Performance on board exams has been a way to even the playing field and has been correlated with the ability to successfully complete residency and pass qualifying examinations.^[3] Elimination of objective scoring of board exams could cause even more difficulties for applicants underrepresented in medicine. Further suppression of underrepresented groups could potentially bottleneck the much-needed increase in diversity of the medical workforce.

To overcome the challenges this change would pose, residency programs could develop a standardized specialtyspecific entrance exam for neurosurgery, allowing for assessment of genuine interest, commitment, and competency in applicants. However, the feasibility of such an assessment - if made specialty-specific in content - is low as certain specialties (including neurosurgery) are not a core component of medical school preclinical or clinical education. In addition, sub-internships and audition rotations would have further weight in assessing applicant fit for a program, alongside letters of recommendation, and research production. Elimination of all scored board exams would require a complete restructuring of the application process for residency, a change that would undoubtedly pose new challenges with respect to selecting the next generation of physicians.

Declaration of patient consent

Patient's consent not required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Beyer RS, Hatter MJ, Brown NJ, Oh MY. The USMLE examination scoring change: Uncertainty and implications for dual-degree applicants. J Neurosurg 2022:1-2. Doi: 10.3171/2022.3.JNS22531. Epub ahead of print.
- 2. Bonney PA, Attenello FJ, Mack WJ. Letter to the Editor. Scoring change for Step 1 of the United States medical licensing examination. J Neurosurg 2021;134:2012-3.
- 3. Nagasawa DT, Beckett JS, Lagman C, Chung LK, Schmidt B, *et al.* United States medical licensing examination Step 1 Scores directly correlate with American board of neurological surgery scores: A single-institution experience. World Neurosurg 2017;98:427-31.
- National Resident Matching Program, Charting Outcomes in the Match: Senior Students of U.S. Medical Schools, 2020. Washington, DC: National Resident Matching Program; 2020.
- Rojek AE, Khanna R, Yim JW, Gardner R, Lisker S, Hauer KE, et al. Differences in narrative language in evaluations of medical students by gender and under-represented minority status. J Gen Intern Med 2019;34:684-91.
- Wadhwa H, Shah SS, Shan J, Cheng J, Beniwal AS, Chen JS, et al. The neurosurgery applicant's "arms race": Analysis of medical student publication in the neurosurgery residency match. J Neurosurg 2019:1-9. Doi: 10.3171/2019.8.JNS191256. Epub ahead of print.
- Wang A, Karunungan KL, Story JD, Ha EL, Braddock CH. residency program director perspectives on changes to US medical licensing examination. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2129557.
- Wilson CM, Brown NJ, Detchou DK. Letter to the Editor. USMLE examination and implications of a recent change. J Neurosurg 2021;136:316-7.

How to cite this article: Reardon T, Brown NJ, Beyer RS, Streetman D, Gendreau JL, Oh MY. Considerations regarding the future of Step 2 CK and the neurosurgery residency match. Surg Neurol Int 2022;13:278.